1

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	}
Plaintiff,	) CASE NO. CRO5-85RSM
V.	) SEATTLE, WASHINGTON ) June 14, 2010
DOUGLAS SPINK,	) Revocation/Evidentiary Hearing
Defendant.	)

## VERBATIM REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE RICARDO S. MARTINEZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

## **APPEARANCES:**

For the Plaintiff: STEVEN MASADA

SUSAN ROE

For the Defendant: HOWARD PHILLIPS

Reported by: NANCY L. BAUER, CCR, RPR

Federal Court Reporter

700 Stewart Street, Suite 17205

Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 370-8506

nancy\_bauer@wawd.uscourts.gov

June 14, 2010 2:00 p.m. PROCEEDINGS

THE CLERK: This is the matter of the United States

v. Douglas Spink, Cause No. CR05-85, assigned to this court.

Counsel, please make your appearances for the record.

MR. MASADA: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Steven
Masada on behalf of the government. I'm joined at counsel
table by Susan Roe and Probation Officer Jeff Robson.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MR. PHILLIPS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. I'm Howard Phillips for Mr. Doug Spink.

THE COURT: Mr. Phillips, thank you.

Counsel, we scheduled this evidentiary hearing today because the court has received two different reports of alleged violations of supervised release conditions. There are four in total: No. 1 is committing the crime of animal cruelty, a violation of a general condition that he not commit another federal, state, or local offense. No. 2 is failing to submit truthful reports to probation since October of 2008. That's a violation of Standard Condition No. 2. The third alleged violation is leaving the judicial district without permission on or about March 19th and July 18th, 2008, in violation of Standard Condition No. 1. And, finally, associating with persons engaged in criminal activities or associating with persons convicted of a felony

```
on or about November 4th, 2009, through December 5th, 2009,
 1
    and April 14th, 2010, in violation of Standard Condition No.
 2
 3
    9.
        Mr. Phillips, I believe your client made his initial
 4
    appearance, and basically entered denials to all four of
 5
 6
    these previously, correct?
             MR. PHILLIPS: That's correct, Your Honor, initially.
 7
             THE COURT: At this point in time, does he, in fact,
 8
    wish to admit any of these violations?
 9
             MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, my client, Mr. Spink,
10
    would admit to the violation related to travel outside the
11
    court's jurisdiction, which was, I believe, Violation No. 3,
12
    that he traveled to New York without the permission of his
13
    PO.
14
             THE COURT: All right. And does he understand that
15
    by admitting it, there will be no need for the government to
16
    try to prove this? The court will simply accept the fact
17
    that he is admitting, and the court will find that that
18
    violation has, in fact, occurred.
19
             MR. PHILLIPS: He does, Your Honor.
20
21
             THE COURT: All right. So we have denials to the
    other three?
22
             MR. PHILLIPS: That's correct.
23
             THE COURT: Counsel, the court has actually received
24
25
    several memos both from the government and the defense.
                                                              As
```

both of you are aware, both sides are aware --

MR. PHILLIPS: Excuse me, Your Honor. I need to make a clarification with respect to No. 1, that has to do with the animal cruelty. He neither admits or denies that allegation, so the government would have to prove it by a preponderance.

THE COURT: All right. As I was saying, I received several memos from both sides regarding the scope of the evidentiary hearing, regarding issues that may or may not arise. Counsel, the rules of evidence are very relaxed at an evidentiary hearing. There is no jury. The court is simply the trier of fact as well, and, therefore, to the extent that there's any necessity to rule on these motions, the court will deny them at the outset, allow the government to put on whatever proof they feel is necessary, and, Mr. Phillips, you'll certainly be available to cross-examine any witnesses that they may present or certainly make any legal arguments you think are proper. All right?

MR. PHILLIPS: Very well, Your Honor.

THE COURT: How does government wish to proceed, Mr. Masada?

MR. MASADA: Your Honor, the government anticipates calling two witnesses and submitting the testimony of another by affidavit. I understand that the defense will object to that. The third witness is Mr. Clarke. He is in the

```
courthouse and available to provide testimony, if defense
 1
    wishes to call him, or if the court wishes to hear from him
 2
 3
    directly.
             THE COURT: All right. Let's get the live witnesses
 4
    out of the way.
 5
 6
             MR. MASADA: The government calls Jeff Robson.
 7
             THE COURT: Please step before the clerk and be
 8
     sworn.
                                HAVING BEEN FIRST DULY SWORN.
 9
    JEFF ROBSON,
                                TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
10
             THE CLERK: Please state your full name and spell
11
    your name for the record.
12
                           My name is Jeff Robson; last name is
13
             THE WITNESS:
    R-o-b-s-o-n.
14
                           DIRECT EXAMINATION
15
    BY MR. MASADA:
16
        Good afternoon, Mr. Robson. How are you employed?
17
        I'm currently employed as a probation officer with the
18
    U.S. Probation Office.
19
        How long have you been with the office of U.S. Probation?
20
        A little over seven years.
21
        What did you do prior to that?
22
        Prior to employment with U.S. Probation, I was employed
23
    with the City of Fife as a probation officer for two years.
24
25
     I was also employed with the Department of Revenue as a
```

```
revenue agent to the compliance unit, special licensing in
```

- business taxes. And prior to that, I was employed at Western
- 3 | State Hospital, working with violent developmentally-delayed
- 4 drug users and sex offenders.
- 5 Q So how long have you been working with people on
- 6 | supervision and parole?
- 7 A For over ten years.
- 8 Q Did you receive any specialized training as part of your
- 9 service?
- 10 A With the U.S. Probation Office, I received training to
- 11 utilize ImageScan, which is a forensically sound computer
- investigation tool that was designed by the FBI and CART.
- 13 Q Now, are you familiar with Douglas Spink, the defendant in
- 14 this case?
- 15 A **Yes.**
- 16 | Q | How so?
- 17 A Mr. Spink was assigned to my caseload approximately over a
- 18 year ago.
- 19 Q Why was Mr. Spink on supervision?
- 20 A He's currently on supervision for cocaine possession.
- 21 Q Now, if you would, would you turn with me or pull out
- 22 Exhibit No. 1? Officer Robson, do you recognize this
- 23 document?
- 24 | A **Yes, I do.**
- 25 Q And what is it?

```
www.ratemyhorsepro.com
        It is Mr. Spink's judgment in his current case.
 1
        Now, does the Office of Probation maintain a file on all
 2
 3
    persons that are on probation or supervision?
        Yes, they do.
 4
        Have you reviewed Mr. Spink's file?
 5
        Yes, I have.
 6
    Α
 7
        Would you turn with me to Exhibit 2?
                                               Do you recognize
     this document?
        Yes, I do.
 9
10
        And what is it?
        Exhibit 2 is Mr. Spink's general conditions of
11
     supervision, special conditions of supervision. These
12
    conditions were reviewed by Mr. Spink by his last probation
13
    officer in 2007, and it's both signed by his last probation
14
    officer and Mr. Spink.
15
        And where is this document maintained?
16
        In his file.
17
             MR. MASADA: Your Honor, the government moves to
18
     admit 1 and 2.
19
             THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Phillips?
20
21
             MR. PHILLIPS: No, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: 1 and 2 are admitted.
                      (EXHIBIT 1 and 2 ADMITTED.)
23
```

(By Mr. Masada) Mr. Robson, did you file the violation 24 25 reports in this case?

- 1 A Yes, I did.
- 2 \ Q And what are those four violations?
- A Initially, on April 1st, I submitted a violation for a request for a warrant, alleging that Mr. Spink committed the
- 5 crime of animal cruelty, and he falsified his monthly
- 6 reports. I submitted a supplemental violation report later
- 7 | alleging that he traveled outside the district without
- 8 permission and that he engaged with people in criminal
- 9 activities.
- 10 Q Now, how did your investigation with Mr. Spink begin?
- 11 A Initially, I received a call from Detective Jerry Chandler
- in Maury County, Tennessee. Detective Chandler informed me
- 13 that Mr. Spink was calling the jail incessantly and bugging
- 14 his staff, and he was also supposedly calling the public
- defender's office, and they were interested in filing a
- 16 no-contact order, he was calling that much.
- Now, was Mr. Spink contacting inmates in the Maury County
- 18 | **Jail?**
- 19 A Yes, he was contacting James Michael Tait.
- 20 \ Q Are you familiar were that name, James Michael Tait?
- 21 A Yes. Mr. Tait was involved back in the 2005 Enumclaw case
- involving bestiality, where a gentleman died.
- 23 Q What was Mr. Tait in custody in Tennessee for?
- 24 A For bestiality-related charges.
- 25 Q Were there any other defendants in that case?

- 1 A Yes, there was.
- 2 And who was that?
- 3 A Kenny Thomason.
- 4 Q If you would turn with me to Exhibit 3. Do you recognize
- 5 | this document?
- 6 A Yes, I do.
- 7 \ Q And what is this?
- 8 A This exhibit is an affidavit for James Michael Tait,
- 9 | alleging animal cruelty, and --
- 10 Q Next couple pages?
- 11 A 140, 141, 142; 143 goes into that affidavit for Kenny
- 12 Thomason alleging animal cruelty, and that's all of 143.
- 13 Q Now, you mentioned that Mr. Spink was contacting Mr. Tait
- 14 in jail. Were those calls recorded?
- 15 A Yes, they were.
- 16 Q And have you had an opportunity to review those calls?
- 17 A Yes, I have.
- 18 Q If you would turn with me to Exhibit 6, do you recognize
- 19 this document?
- 20 A Yes, I do. What this document is, is the call log I
- 21 | received from Maury County.
- 22 Q And what inmate does it refer to?
- 23 A This is the complete call history of James Michael Tait.
- 24 Q Did any of those phone numbers on this call log come to
- 25 | your attention?

```
Yes, they did. The 360 number on here, which is the same
 1
    number Mr. Spink reports on his monthly report form as his.
 2
 3
        And approximately how many calls do you see to that
    number?
 4
        Approximately ten.
 5
             MR. MASADA: Your Honor, the government moves to
 6
    admit Exhibits 3 and 6.
 7
             THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Phillips, to 3 and 6?
             MR. PHILLIPS: No objection, Your Honor.
 9
             THE COURT: Thank you. 3 and 6 are admitted.
10
                      (EXHIBIT 3 and 6 ADMITTED.)
11
        (By Mr. Masada)
                          Now, again, you said you listened to
12
    these calls?
13
        Yes, I have.
14
        What's the general subject matter of the calls?
15
        The general subject matter of the calls is talking about
16
    Mr. Tait's charges for bestiality and their dissatisfaction
17
    with Mr. Tait's representation that he received in this case.
18
        Now, jumping ahead a little bit, did the office of
19
    probation later find written correspondence between Mr. Tait
20
    and Mr. Spink?
21
        Yes.
22
    Α
        Turn to Exhibit 7, 8, and 9.
23
    0
        Exhibit 7, 164, is a letter we found during the search of
24
    Α
25
    Mr. Spink's residence. It's from James Michael Tait to
```

```
Exhibit 8, 167 and 168, is another letter written
    Mr. Spink.
 1
    from James Michael Tait to Mr. Spink. Exhibit 9 is a letter
 2
 3
    written from James Michael Tait to Mr. Spink, and that's 163.
        Where were these letters found?
 4
        They were found inside Mr. Spink's residence.
 5
             MR. MASADA: Your Honor, the government moves to
 6
    admit 7, 8, and 9.
 7
             MR. PHILLIPS: No objection.
             THE COURT: Thank you. 7, 8, 9 are admitted.
 9
10
                      (EXHIBIT 7, 8, 9 ADMITTED.)
        (By Mr. Masada) If you would turn with me to Exhibit 5.
11
    Do you recognize this copy?
12
        Yes, I do. It's a copy of the jail tapes.
13
        And have you listened to this CD?
14
        Yes. I have.
15
             MR. MASADA: Your Honor, the government moves to
16
    admit 5.
17
             THE COURT: Any objection?
18
             MR. PHILLIPS: No objection.
19
             THE COURT: 5 is admitted.
20
                       (EXHIBIT NO. 5 ADMITTED.)
21
        (By Mr. Masada) What did you do after having those
22
    conversations with Detective Chandler in Tennessee?
23
        After speaking with Detective Chandler, he informed me
24
25
    that there was various websites that he was aware of.
                                                            I took
```

```
that information and searched the Internet for Mr. Spink, for
 1
    Fausty, for Mr. Spink's business's name, to see if there were
 2
 3
    any more websites out there.
        And what did you find?
 4
        In my search, I found approximately 40 more websites that
 5
    related to either bestiality or a means to --
 6
             MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, Your Honor. Objection to
 7
    these. I believe we dealt with this in our motion. This is
 8
    one of the issues, the question of attribution. This witness
 9
    may have found those websites, but there's not attribution to
10
    my client, Mr. Spink, in particular, so we object to it.
11
             THE COURT: I understand that's your argument,
12
    Counsel. The testimony will be admitted.
13
             MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you.
14
        (By Mr. Masada) So can you describe some of these
15
    websites, generally?
16
        Some of the websites contained thousands of pictures,
17
    videos, blogs, and stories related to bestiality. Some of
18
    the other websites were means to surf the Internet
19
    anonymously and download files quickly.
20
        Did you file any information related to Mr. Spink's
21
    corporate interests?
22
        Yes.
23
    Α
```

A I found different -- he has a Baneki website, which says

Q And what was that?

24

- on the website that he's the founder of Baneki Privacy
- 2 Computing. On the Cryptocloud website, on the bottom, it
- 3 shows Fausty, the screen name that he goes by, that he's the
- 4 founder of Baneki's Cryptocloud.
- 5 Q If you would turn to Exhibit 37. Mr. Robson, you
- 6 previously reviewed Exhibit 37?
- 7 A Yes, I have.
- 8 Q And what is Exhibit 37?
- 9 A Exhibit 37 is a collection of different Internet documents
- 10 | that I found related to Mr. Spink and some of his activities
- 11 online.
- 12 Q Now, if you would, would you turn with me to Exhibit 37A?
- 13 | A **Okay**.
- 14 O And what is this?
- 15 A Exhibit 37A, page 207, is a posting by Fausty, or
- 16 Mr. Spink, and it's a collection of pictures of his dogs.
- 17 These are the same dogs that we saw during our April 14th
- 18 | search at his residence.
- 19 Q And flipping to the page that's Bates No. 208, 209, and so
- 20 on, these are the photographs you're referring to?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 \ \circ \ And you recognize these dogs from the search?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Flip with me, if you will, to Exhibit 37B. Now, what is
- 25 **this?**

- 1 A Exhibit 37B to 34 is the cultureghost.org website. It's
- 2 kind of a question-and-answer form for the format for the
- 3 | VPN, which is a virtual private network.
- 4 Q And I see a posting by Fausty; is that correct?
- $5 \mid A \quad Yes.$
- 6  $\bigcirc$  Now, if you would, would you turn with me to the page
- 7 | labeled Bates No. 236. Do you see an entry on that page?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And what's the general subject matter of that entry?
- 10 A On 236, this posting by Fausty, it talks about how his
- 11 horse, Capone, was kidnapped, and it also speaks to getting
- 12 his horse back.
- 0n the next page, 237, there's a picture of Mr. Spink and
- 14 his horse, Capone. The caption on the bottom of the picture
- 15 says, "An old photo of Capone and I."
- 16 Q And it's signed by Fausty, correct?
- 17 A **Yeah**.
- 18 Q Turn with me to Exhibit 37C. What is 37C?
- 19 A 37C, page 284, is a posting by Baneki where it shows
- 20 Douglas Spink, and then this is his resumé.
- 21 Q And this is the cultureghost website?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Now, Mr. Robson, flip with me to 37J. What is this?
- 24 A 37J, page 323, this is the zetatracker website. This is
- 25 the main page you go to when you get there. What it shows

```
is, it's a bestiality site, containing thousand of pictures
 1
    of bestiality movies, videos, blogs, and stories related to
 2
 3
    it. What it shows under "latest news," there's a posting by
    Fausty in the moderator section.
        You said it's a bestiality web page. How are files
 5
 6
    exchanged or downloaded?
 7
        On this website, it's a BitTorrent website. What that
    means, really, is it's similar to Napster. It's peer-to-peer
 8
    file sharing. How this is different than Napster is, instead
 9
    of having one supernode controlling all the informations, it
10
    breaks it out. So you'll have -- you're able to download
11
    large documents from multiple people. It breaks up the files
12
    so you can download it that way, and it's much faster and
13
    it's more secure.
14
        Flip with me to 37K, if you don't mind. What is 37K?
15
        37K, page 322, is another posting on this zetatracker
16
    website. In the search engine, I typed in Fausty, and what
17
    this shows, specifically, are the postings that Mr. Spink,
18
    Fausty, posted on the site.
19
       Now, I notice in 37K, there's file called, "Fausty, Last
20
21
    HOPE." Does that have significance to you?
        Yes, yes, it does. And what Last HOPE is, HOPE stands for
22
    Hackers on Planet Earth, and this is a conference that
23
    Mr. Spink attended in 2008. He was actually a speaker at
24
25
    this conference.
```

```
Did you find additional evidence of that?
 1
        Yes, we did. We found -- it's posted on YouTube for the
 2
 3
    public to view, and we also found a CD during the search of
     "Last HOPE conference," and it is also posted on the Last
 4
    HOPE website that he is a speaker.
 5
             MR. MASADA: Your Honor, the government moves to
 6
    admit Exhibit 37.
 7
             THE COURT: Any objection?
             MR. PHILLIPS: No objection, Your Honor.
 9
             THE COURT: 37 is admitted.
10
                        (EXHIBIT 37 ADMITTED.)
11
        (By Mr. Masada) Mr. Robson, what did you do after
12
    conducting this investigation?
13
        After conducting the investigation and finding the
14
    evidence, I submitted a violation report and warrant for his
15
    arrest.
16
        And was Mr. Spink arrested?
17
        Yes, he was.
18
        Can you describe the details of that arrest?
19
        On April 14th, the U.S. Marshal Service served a warrant
20
21
    for Mr. Spink's arrest at his residence. He was arrested
    without incident. After that, his arrest, the U.S. Probation
22
    Office conducted a search of his residence that way. Animal
23
    Control and the Whatcom County Sheriff's Department were both
24
25
    called; Whatcom County Humane Society to control animals, and
```

- 1 | the sheriff's department to control any third parties.
- 2 Q And where was Mr. Spink's property located?
- 3 A In Sumas, Washington.
- 4 Q And approximately how many people were involved in the
- 5 | arrest and search?
- 6 A Approximately 20.
- 8 A The reason there were so many people was strictly for
- 9 officer safety concerns. During conversations with Detective
- 10 | Jerry Chandler, he told me that Mr. Spink was preparing for
- 11 law enforcement to kick in his doors after listening to the
- 12 | Maury County Jail tapes. Mr. Spink said that he is prepared
- 13 | for the Hells Angels and in militant fashion will protect
- 14 himself.
- 15 Q And were you aware that Mr. Spink maintained several large
- 16 animals at the property?
- 17 A Yes. He owned several large dogs that had issues in the
- 18 past with people.
- 19 Q If you would, would you turn with me to Exhibit 12.
- Now, first of all, was Mr. Spink alone when the U.S.
- 21 Marshals and Office of Probation came to his property on
- 22 **April 14th?**
- 23 A No, he wasn't. Also at the residence was Stephen Clarke.
- 24 \ Q Now, Exhibit 12, what is contained in Exhibit 12?
- 25 A In Exhibit 12, page 372 through -- continuing on, these

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are pictures that the probation office took during the search. The first page, this is a sign in front of Mr. Spink's property; 373 is a picture of Mr. Spink's residence itself; page 374 is a photograph inside Mr. Spink's residence; page 376 is a book found in Mr. Spink's residence with the word "Fausty" written on the cover; page 377 is a whiteboard inside Mr. Spink's residence. On the whiteboard it says, "Cross species co-op"; on page 378, it's a letter found inside Mr. Spink's residence addressed to Baneki Computers. On the address it is written to Mr. Spink's P.O. box in Bellingham; pages 379 and 380 are books found inside Mr. Spink's residence, some of them containing and related to bestiality; page 384 is the Companion Species Manifesto with the name "Fausty" written on the cover; the following page is a camcorder, which was found inside Mr. Spink's residence, located on his couch, that was plugged into the wall; the following page is another picture outside Mr. Spink's residence; the page after that is a picture of Stephen Clarke, who was found at Mr. Spink's residence with Mr. Spink; the following page are checks that were found at Mr. Spink's residence to Baneki Privacy Computing; the following page is another picture of checks found at Mr. Spink's residence to Baneki Privacy Computing; the next page is a book of checks from Exitpoint Stallions, also located at Mr. Spink's residence. And that's it.

```
MR. MASADA: And I apologize to the court.
 1
    one of those photographs might have been out of order, just
 2
 3
    for the record.
        (By Mr. Masada) Do these photos accurately depict what
 4
    you saw during your search on April 14th?
 5
 6
    Α
        Yes.
             MR. MASADA: Your Honor, the government moves to
 7
    admit Exhibit 12.
 8
             THE COURT: Mr. Phillips?
             MR. PHILLIPS: No objection.
10
             THE COURT: 12 will be admitted.
11
                        (EXHIBIT 12 ADMITTED.)
12
        (By Mr. Masada) You mentioned that Mr. Spink maintained
13
    animals on his property. Did you discover animals when you
14
    came to his property on April 14th?
15
16
        Yes.
    Α
        What type of animals?
17
        They were four horses, seven dogs, and thirteen mice.
18
        If you would flip with me to Exhibit 13? Do you recognize
19
    this?
20
    A Yes. This is the Whatcom County Humane Society report of
21
    the incident on April 14th, and it lists the animals that
22
    they seized.
23
        And does that accurately reflect your recollection of the
24
25
    animals that were found at the property?
```

```
1 A Yes.
```

- 2 | Q Please flip with me to Exhibit 14. Do you recognize
- 3 | **Exhibit 14?**
- 4 A Exhibit 14, these are all pictures that the Whatcom County
- 5 Humane Society took of the animals that were under their
- 6 care.
- 7 Q And these are animals seized from Mr. Spink's property?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Have you reviewed these photographs?
- 10 A **Yes.**
- 11 Q And do you recognize these as the animals that you saw on
- 12 Mr. Spink's property?
- 13 A Yes, these are the animals.
- MR. MASADA: Your Honor, the government moves to
- 15 admit 13 and 14.
- MR. PHILLIPS: No objection.
- THE COURT: 13, 14 admitted.
- 18 (EXHIBIT 13 and 14 ADMITTED.)
- 19 Q (By Mr. Masada) What type of items did U.S. Probation
- 20 officers find at Mr. Spink's property?
- 21 A We found miscellaneous business records of his, we found
- 22 more books relating to bestiality, we found checking account
- 23 records, and a lot of documentation.
- 24 Q You mentioned letters. Did you find letters?
- 25 A Yes. We found letters from James Michael Tait to

```
Mr. Spink, from Kenny Thomason and Mr. Spink, from Mark
 1
    Taggert, another inmate, to Mr. Spink, and various other
 2
 3
    documents from inmates to Mr. Spink.
        And you mentioned in the photographs a video camera.
                                                                Did
 4
    you discover a video camera?
 5
 6
    Α
        Yes.
 7
        Was that item seized?
        Yes.
 8
    Α
        And videotapes along with it?
 9
10
    Α
        Yes.
             MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, Your Honor, to the leading
11
    questioning.
12
             THE COURT: Your objection is overruled. The answer
13
    will stand. Ask another question.
14
        (By Mr. Masada) Officer Robson, I'd like to go straight
15
    to the violations. I guess Mr. Spink is admitting to
16
17
    unauthorized travel in this violation hearing, so I'll just
    briefly touch upon it. Have you personally ever --
18
             MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, Your Honor.
19
             THE COURT: Why do we need to bother with this,
20
    Mr. Masada?
21
             MR. MASADA: There is some relevance in that it
    relates to some of the conduct that goes on at the
23
    defendant's property.
24
25
             THE COURT:
                          Okay.
```

```
MR. MASADA: I'll go straight through.
 1
             THE COURT: Thank you.
 2
 3
        (By Mr. Masada) Now, you mentioned the Last HOPE
    conference; is that correct?
 4
       Yes.
 5
    Α
 6
    O Again, what is Last HOPE?
             MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, Your Honor; asked and
 7
    answered.
 8
             THE COURT: Overruled.
 9
        It stands for Hackers on Planet Earth.
10
        (By Mr. Masada) And if you would, would you flip with me
11
    to Exhibit 21? Do you recognize this document?
12
        Exhibit 21, starting on page 406, is a printout from the
13
    Last HOPE website. It's the speakers list part of that
14
    conference.
15
        And Mr. Spink spoke at this conference?
16
17
    Α
        Yes.
                 PHILLIPS: Objection; leading.
18
             MR.
             THE COURT: It is leading, Counsel. Ask another
19
    question.
20
        (By Mr. Masada) How does Mr. Spink relate to the Last
21
    HOPE conference?
        Mr. Spink was a speaker at that conference.
23
    presentation can be found on YouTube.
24
25
        If you would, would you flip with me to Exhibit 22? What
```

```
is Exhibit 22?
 1
        Exhibit 22 is a copy of the YouTube videos.
 2
 3
        And have you reviewed Mr. Spink's speaking engagement on
    YouTube?
 4
        Yes.
 5
    Α
 6
        And have you reviewed the CD?
        Yes.
    Α
        Is this CD a true and accurate copy of his presentation at
    that conference and what's posted on YouTube?
10
    Α
        Yes.
        What did Mr. Spink discuss at this New York conference?
11
        At the beginning of the presentation, he speaks to
12
    criminal history, his charges, and how he's currently on
13
    federal supervision. Further on in the presentation, he
14
    speaks about some of his beliefs on animals, and then he also
15
    speaks on corporate jurisprudence and setting up different
16
17
    corporations.
             MR. MASADA: Your Honor, government moves to admit
18
    Exhibit 21 and 22.
19
             THE COURT: Any objection?
20
21
             MR. PHILLIPS: No objection.
             THE COURT:
                          21 and 22 admitted.
                      (EXHIBIT 21 and 22 ADMITTED.)
23
         (By Mr. Masada) Mr. Robson, I'd like to play a brief clip
24
25
    from Exhibit 22. You recognize this as a YouTube clip?
```

```
1 A Yes.
```

- 2 Q And it says, Last HOPE, and has the date and location of
- 3 the conference?
- 4 A Yes. July 18 through 20, 2008, in New York City.

(Video is played.)

- 6 MR. MASADA: I'll stop there.
- 7 Q (By Mr. Masada) Just to be clear, you've never authorized 8 out-of-state travel, correct?
- 9 A No.

- 10 Q Now, let's move on to the first disputed allegation --
- 11 let's move on to the allegation of lying on monthly reports.
- 12 If you would turn with me to Exhibit 25. What's contained in
- 13 | **Exhibit 25?**
- 14 A Exhibit 25 are copies of Mr. Spink's past monthly report
- 15 forms to the probation office. As part of his conditions,
- 16 he's required to send these in once a month to the probation
- 17 office.
- 18 Q And why is the information provided important?
- 19 A The information on these reports is very important to the
- 20 probation office, as it gives a record of how to contact
- 21 Mr. Spink, it apprises us of anything that might be going on
- with Mr. Spink, and maybe a way to help him.
- 23 Q Does the monthly report contain a question about travel?
- 24 A Yes, it does.
- 25 Q What is that question?

```
On the second page of all monthly report forms, it
 1
    specifically asks, "Did you travel out of the district
 2
 3
    without permission?" And Mr. Spink marked, "No."
        And as previously discussed, that was not true?
 4
             MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, Your Honor; relevance
 5
    this line of questioning.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: Overruled.
        (By Mr. Masada) Now, where are these monthly reports
 8
    maintained?
 9
        They're maintained in his file.
10
             MR. MASADA: Your Honor, the government moves to
11
    admit Exhibit 25.
12
             THE COURT: Any objection to 25, Mr. Phillips?
13
             MR. PHILLIPS: No objection, Your Honor.
14
             THE COURT: 25 is admitted.
15
                         (EXHIBIT 25 ADMITTED.)
16
        (By Mr. Masada) Now, do the monthly reports inquire about
17
    employment status?
18
        Yes, it does.
19
        What did Mr. Spink report about his employment?
20
21
        Mr. Spink reports that he works for Baneki Computing.
                                                                He
    says the address of Baneki Computing is his P.O. box in
22
    Bellingham. He also reports that his main supervisor is
23
    J. Tover, and the position he held there is a tech lead.
24
25
        Now, on the second page, there's a signature block where
```

- the supervisee has to verify that all information is complete and correct; is that right?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Was what you just described complete and correct?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q How so?
- 7 A Through different Internet research and through the search 8 conducted on April 14th of Mr. Spink's residence, we found 9 multiple documents stating that he's either the founder and
- 10 CEO, or CTO or chairman of Baneki Privacy Computing.
- 11 Q If you would, would you turn with me to Exhibits 26 12 through 29? We'll start with 26. What is this?
- 13 A Exhibit 26, page 87, this is a printout that I did from 14 the Secretary of State's web page. It shows Douglas Spink 15 with the same Bellingham P.O. box as the chairman of Baneki
- 17 Q Where did you find this document?
- 18 A On the Secretary of State -- Washington Secretary of 19 State's web page.
- 20 \ Q And Exhibit 27?

Privacy Computing.

16

21

22

23

- A Exhibit 27, page 91, is the master business application from the Department of Licensing for Baneki Privacy

  Computing, showing Douglas Spink as the chairman of the board for Baneki Privacy Computing. It estimates the gross income
- of his business is over \$100,000 a year. On the last page,

- page 94, it says, "Prepared by Douglas Spink."
- 2 **Exhibit 28?**
- 3 A Exhibit 28, page 99, is a receipt that we found during the
- 4 | April 14th search of Mr. Spink's residence. What it shows is
- 5 D. Spink is the chairman and founder of Baneki Privacy
- 6 Computing, and it's also signed by Mr. Spink.
- 7 \ Q And you recognize that signature?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And Exhibit 29?
- 10 A Exhibit 29 is a document that, again, we found during the
- 11 April 14th search of Mr. Spink's residence. On page 108, it
- shows Douglas Spink's signature as the chairman of the
- company, and he also uses his Bellingham P.O. box as the
- 14 address.
- 15 Q Officer Robson, turn to Exhibit 31 and 32. Do you
- 16 recognize these documents?
- 17 A They're both documents we found during the search of
- 18 Mr. Spink's property. Exhibit 31, what they are are wire
- 19 transfers from Baneki Privacy Computing, overseas. On the
- 20 | first one, the origination is Baneki Privacy Computing, and
- the requester is Douglas Spink. On the second one, the
- originator is Douglas Spink, and the requester is Baneki
- 23 | Privacy Computing.
- 24 Q And when you say, "second one," you're referring to 32?
- 25 A **Yes.**

```
Now, is the fact that Mr. Spink is operating a company and
1
    transferring money overseas, is that something that you, as
 2
 3
    his probation officer, would find important to know?
    Α
        Yes.
 4
             MR. MASADA: Your Honor, the government moves to
 5
    admit Exhibits 26 through 29 and 31 and 32.
 6
 7
             MR. PHILLIPS: No objection.
                          Exhibits 26, 27, 28, 29, 31, and 32 are
             THE COURT:
8
9
    admitted.
                   (EXHIBIT 26 through 32 ADMITTED.)
10
        (By Mr. Masada) Now, on his monthly reports, did
11
    Mr. Spink make a representation about renting property?
12
        Yes, he did.
13
        And what did he state?
14
        On the monthly report forms, it states that he was renting
15
    a Sumas property, and he pays $1,200 a month for that rent.
16
        Through the investigation, did you find that to be true?
17
        No.
18
        Why not?
19
        During the search, we found records that were shown that
20
21
    Mr. Spink, through Exitpoint Stallions, was purchasing that
    property. Additional documents we found, not during the
22
    search, shows that Mr. Spink was defaulting on that loan
23
    agreement.
24
25
        Now, what about the amount Mr. Spink said he was paying
```

```
per month?
 1
        On the monthly report forms, it said he's paying $1,200 a
 2
 3
    month. Other documents that I reviewed showed that he's
    paying, on average, $500 a month.
 4
        And if you would, would you flip to Exhibit 33? Do you
 5
 6
     recognize this document?
 7
        Yes.
    Α
        And what is it?
        It's a notice of intent to forfeit the Sumas property.
 9
10
        And turning to the page Bates No. 115.
        Page 115 shows -- the top section shows the amounts that
11
    were due and owing, and the lower section shows the amounts
12
    that he actually paid.
13
             MR. MASADA: Your Honor, government moves to admit
14
15
     33.
             THE COURT: Any objection?
16
             MR. PHILLIPS: No objection, Your Honor.
17
             THE COURT: 33 is admitted.
18
                         (EXHIBIT 33 ADMITTED.)
19
         (By Mr. Masada) Do the monthly reports inquire about
20
    checking accounts?
21
        Yes.
    Α
22
        And what did Mr. Spink report?
23
        Mr. Spink reports he does not have a checking or savings
24
25
    account.
```

- 1 Q And what did your investigation uncover?
- 2 A During the search, we found multiple checkbooks at his
- 3 residence that Mr. Spink had control over and was using, so
- 4 | that was inaccurate.
- 5 Q If you would, would you turn with me to Exhibits 49 and
- 6 50?
- 7 A Exhibit 49 is just a few of the checks that we found, page
- 8 950, from Baneki Privacy Computing. What it appears is that
- 9 these checks are being used for personal reasons.
- 10 Q And the first page on the document that's Bates No. 950,
- 11 | it's a check made out to the American Alpine Club?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And the next page is what, a check to where?
- 14 A It's made out to an animal hospital. 952 is also made out
- to an animal hospital, and it looks like 53 is family
- 16 medicine. All these checks don't appear to be involved with
- 17 computers or computing.
- 18 Q And to your knowledge, Baneki is a tech-related company?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 \ Q And just to be clear, Exhibit 50?
- 21 A Exhibit 50, page 959, is another check we found in the
- 22 April 14th search of Mr. Spink's residence, the checkbook for
- 23 | Exitpoint Stallions, Inc.
- 24 Q What is Exitpoint Stallions, to the best of your
- 25 knowledge?

```
31
                    www.ratemyhorsepro.com
        It is a company owned by Mr. Spink related to horses.
 1
    Α
        Exhibit 48, what is this?
 2
 3
        Exhibit 48, page 962, what this is, is the payment book
     found during the April 14th search of Mr. Spink's residence.
 4
    This payment book is for the Suburban that he drives.
 5
 6
        And it was found at his property, correct?
 7
        Yes.
    Α
             MR. MASADA: Government moves to admit 48 through 50.
 8
             MR. PHILLIPS: No objection.
 9
                          They are admitted.
10
             THE COURT:
                  (EXHIBITS 48, 49, and 50 ADMITTED.)
11
         (By Mr. Masada) Do the monthly reports inquire about
12
    contact with persons with criminal records?
13
        Yes.
14
    Α
        And what does Mr. Spink report?
15
        He reports that he had no contact with people.
16
    Α
        And is that true?
17
    0
        No.
18
    Α
        Now, this relates to the next violation, which is
19
    associating with persons committing a crime or convicted
20
21
     felons. Who are you referring to in relation to this alleged
    violation?
22
```

- Mr. Clarke and James Michael Tait. 23
- Now, again, Tait is the person that was in custody in the 24
- 25 Maury County Jail?

```
www.ratemyhorsepro.com
        Yes.
 1
    Α
        Now, you previously testified that you've listened to the
 2
 3
    jailhouse calls; is that correct?
        Yes, that's right.
 4
        I'll play one really quickly.
 5
                         For the record, I'm playing a call that
 6
             MR. MASADA:
 7
    was dated November 14th, 2009. On Exhibit 5, it's
     11/14/2009.
                          (Audiotape played.)
 9
        (By Mr. Masada) Officer Robson, on that call,
10
    Mr. Tait alluded to something that occurred maybe 30 years
11
    ago. Did you run a background check on Mr. Tait?
12
        Yes, I did.
13
        What did you find?
14
        I ran the NCIS background check on Mr. Tait, and what it
15
    showed was he was charged with five counts of indecent
16
    liberties. He also was found guilty of one count and
17
     sentenced to ten years in custody. It's a Class B felony.
18
        And Exhibit 51, what is 51?
19
        Exhibit 51, page 933, is a copy of the background check
20
     that I ran.
21
             MR. MASADA: Your Honor, the government moves to
     admit Exhibit 51.
23
```

MR. PHILLIPS: No objection.

THE COURT: 51 is admitted.

24

```
(EXHIBIT 51 ADMITTED.)
 1
        (By Mr. Masada) After this phone call that we just
 2
 3
    listened to, did Mr. Spink tell you he associated with
    Mr. Tait?
 4
    A Yes, he did.
 5
             MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, Your Honor; beyond the
 6
            There's no testimony or no evidence related to that.
 7
             THE COURT: The objection is overruled. The answer
 8
    will stand.
 9
        (By Mr. Masada) Now, you mentioned during the search you
10
    found several letters. If you would turn with me to
11
    Exhibits 10 and 11.
12
            First address Exhibit 10. What is Exhibit 10?
13
        Exhibit 10, page 196, is a letter from Kenny Thomason to
14
    Mr. Spink.
15
        And just to be clear, who is Kenny Thomason?
16
        He's another gentleman arrested in Maury County,
17
    Tennessee, on bestiality charges with Mr. Tait.
18
        Exhibit 11?
19
        Exhibit 11, page 172, is a letter from Mark Taggert, on
20
    page 172. It's addressed to Fausty. 175 is another jail
21
    correspondence from Mark Taggert, addressed to Doug.
22
        What else is contained in Exhibit 11, just generally
23
    speaking?
24
25
        Generally speaking, it's multiple letters from different
    Α
```

```
institutions, from people in different institutions to
 1
    Mr. Spink.
 2
 3
        And where were these letters found?
        All these letters were found during the April 14th search
 4
    of Mr. Spink's residence.
 5
             MR. MASADA: Your Honor, the government moves to
 6
     admit 10 and 11.
 7
             MR. PHILLIPS: No objection, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: Exhibits 10 and 11 are admitted.
 9
                     (EXHIBIT 10 and 11 ADMITTED.)
10
        (By Mr. Masada) Returning to the alleged violation, who
11
    else do you believe that Mr. Spink was improperly associating
12
    with?
13
        Stephen Clarke.
14
        And Stephen Clarke is?
15
        Stephen Clarke was a man also found with Mr. Spink at his
16
     residence during the April 14th search.
17
        And what is the basis for your belief that a violation
18
     occurred?
19
       During the search we found a videotape, and contained on
20
21
    that videotape was Mr. Clarke engaging in sexual activities
    with Mr. Spink's dogs.
22
        Moving along to the fourth violation, a federal, state, or
23
     local crime, what crime do you allege that Mr. Spink
24
25
    committed here?
```

- 1 A Animal cruelty in the first.
- 2 Q Under Washington law?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q If you would, would you turn with me to Exhibit 19? What
- 5 | is **Exhibit 19?**
- 6 A Exhibit 19 is a copy of the Washington RCW for animal
- 7 cruelty in the first degree.
- 8 Q Now, you mentioned you seized videos during the search of
- 9 Mr. Spink's property; is that correct?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Were you able to view those videos?
- 12 A **Yes.**
- 13 Q Can you describe when you viewed them and the
- 14 | circumstances?
- 15 A Initially, we viewed one of the videos at Mr. Spink's
- 16 residence. One video showed Mr. Clarke engaging in sexual
- 17 activities. Later, we viewed them again at Whatcom County
- 18 | Police Department, completely, from start to finish. In
- 19 those videos, it showed multiple scenes where dogs are
- 20 engaging in sexual activities with Mr. Clarke.
- 21 Q Approximately how many videos were there?
- 22 A There were approximately four videotapes seized.
- 23 Q Now, if you would, would you turn with me to Exhibit 15?
- 24 It's a copy of a CD that has Bates No. 643. Have you
- 25 reviewed this CD?

- 1 A Yes, I have.
- 2 Q And is it a true and accurate copy of one of the videos
- 3 that you viewed?
- 4 A Yes, it is.
- 5 Q And can you briefly describe what is contained on this
- 6 particular video?
- 7 A On this video, it shows Mr. Clarke engaging in sexual
- 8 activities with Mr. Spink's dogs. In the background, you can
- 9 hear Mr. Spink's voice while the activities were happening.
- 10 Q What's your basis for making the conclusion that it's
- 11 Mr. Spink's voice?
- 12 A After listening to the jail conversations multiple times
- and watching the video, the YouTube videos multiple times,
- 14 Mr. Spink has a unique voice, and hearing that and the word
- 15 usages that are unique to him.
- 16 Q Did you recognize the location where these events
- 17 occurred?
- 18 A Yes. The different sexual acts occurred inside and
- outside Mr. Spink's residence.
- 20 \ Q And did you recognize the animals involved?
- 21 A Yes. They were the same animals we saw during the search
- 22 on April 14th.
- 23 Q If you would, would you turn to Exhibit 16? It's CD Bates
- No. 644. Have you reviewed this CD?
- 25 A Yes, I have.

- ${\tt 1}$   ${\tt Q}$  And, again, is it a true and accurate copy of the video
- 2 you previously viewed?
- 3 | A Yes, it is.
- 4 Q A video seized from Mr. Spink's property?
- $5 \mid A \quad Yes.$
- 6 Q And what is contained on Exhibit 16?
- 7 A On Exhibit 16, it shows Mr. Clarke measuring erect dog
- 8 penises, and you can hear Mr. Spink and Mr. Clarke talking
- 9 back and forth about the peculiarities of each dogs' penises.
- 10 Q Do you recognize the location?
- 11 A Yes. It was inside Mr. Spink's house.
- 12 And do you recognize the dogs?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And were they using -- was Mr. Clarke using a tool to
- 15 perform these acts?
- 16 A Yes. He was using a pair of calipers that we also found
- 17 during the search.
- 18 Q Would you turn to Exhibit 17? Do you recognize this?
- 19 A Yes. Exhibit 17, page 584, is a picture of the calipers
- 20 \ we seized during the search, which can also be seen in the
- 21 video.
- 22 O In the video, Exhibit 16, CD Bates No. 644?
- 23 A Yes.
- MR. MASADA: Your Honor, the government moves to
- 25 | admit 17 and 19.

```
THE COURT: Any objection?
 1
             MR. PHILLIPS: No objection, Your Honor.
 2
 3
             THE COURT: 17 and 19 admitted.
                      (EXHIBIT 17 and 19 ADMITTED.)
 4
             MR. MASADA: No further questions, Your Honor.
 5
             THE COURT: Cross-examination, Mr. Phillips?
 6
 7
             MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor.
                           CROSS-EXAMINATION
 8
    BY MR. PHILLIPS:
 9
        Good afternoon, Mr. Robson.
10
        Good afternoon.
11
    Α
        How are you today?
12
13
        Pretty good.
    Α
        You said you've been Mr. Spink's probation officer for
14
    about a year, maybe less, maybe more; is that right?
15
16
        Yes.
    Α
        Maybe as little as eight months and maybe as many as 18
17
    months; is that right?
18
        Definitely not 18, but maybe as little as eight months.
19
        But do you estimate that it's about a year, in average; is
20
    that right?
21
        Yes.
    Α
        And you had no contact with Mr. Spink personally in that
23
    time, have you?
24
25
    Α
        That's correct.
```

```
{\tt 1} {\tt Q} You testified about Mr. Spink -- you may have misspoke --
```

- calling the jail. The phone calls you listened to, there was
- 3 | no phone calls to the jail, the phone calls are all from the
- 4 | jail; is that not true?
- 5 A In the phone records, yes, according to Detective
- 6 Chandler, Mr. Spink called the jail.
- 7 | Q But according to the phone records, the objective evidence
- 8 you have, the phone calls went one way, and that was from
- 9 Mr. Tait to Mr. Spink; isn't that true?
- 10 A **Yes.**
- 11 Q Now, you also testified that Mr. Tait was being held on
- 12 bestiality; is that right?
- 13 A Bestiality-related charges.
- 14 Q Bestiality-related charges. But, in fact, it was animal
- 15 | cruelty charges; isn't that true?
- 16 A **Yes**.
- 17 Q In the charging documents, there is no mention of the word
- 18 | bestiality; isn't that true?
- 19 A I believe it mentioned sex with animals.
- 20 \ Q But the word "bestiality" was not used?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q Now, Mr. Spink has been on supervision for a long time,
- 23 | since the time he was convicted and released from prison; is
- 24 | that right?
- 25 | A March 25th, 2007.

- $1 \mid Q$  2007. And in March of 2007, he was assigned a probation
- 2 officer; is that right?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Your predecessor, the person who supervised him before
- 5 you, was a PO as well, right?
- 6 A Yeah.
- 7 Q And that was Mr. Aiken; isn't that true?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 | Q Is it not true that you don't know if Mr. Aiken, in fact,
- 10 gave Mr. Spink permission to have contact with the people who
- 11 | you received letters from; isn't that true?
- 12 A That's true.
- 13 Q I'm going to go through some of the exhibits here. One is
- 14 Exhibit 12. I believe you have it before you. That was one
- of the photos that was taken of a sign that was outside
- 16 Mr. Spink's property. Do you remember that?
- 17 | A Yes.
- 18 Q Okay. Third paragraph from the bottom, that says, "If you
- 19 are a member of law enforcement with a valid court-issued
- 20 order or warrant, please call the caretaker to notify of your
- 21 presence"; isn't that true? Is that right? See that?
- 22 A The third paragraph?
- 23 O Third from the bottom.
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q "And we will ensure your safe entry and welcome here."

```
Isn't that right, that's what it says?
```

- 2 A That's what it says.
- 3 Q You also talked about a number of websites, and you
- 4 described that they are websites involving bestiality and
- 5 that sort of thing; is that right?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Okay. Now, you, in fact, did not find that website
- 8 information on Mr. Spink's computers; isn't that true?
- 9 A **Yes**.
- 10 Q In fact, you just went online, went on the Internet, and
- 11 | found stuff that you thought related to Mr. Spink, and
- 12 | produced it; is that right?
- 13 A I wasn't able to recover any information off Mr. Spink's
- 14 computers.
- 15 Q My point is, the websites, you went online on the Internet
- and pulled up those web pages; is that right?
- 17 | A **Yes.**
- 18 Q In fact, I could have done that?
- 19 A **Yes.**
- 20 Q Or anyone else in this courtroom could have done that; is
- 21 that right?
- 22 A **Yes**.
- 23 \ Q Now, when you open up a web page, typically it doesn't say
- 24 | this web page was posted by a person; is that correct?
- 25 | A **Typically not.**

```
Now, are you familiar with the word or the term or
        Okay.
 1
    the problem within the Internet called "attribution"?
 2
 3
    other words, you have to be able to attribute a website to a
    person, which would be attribution, but you can't say that
 4
    Mr. Spink actually typed that down and put it in the website,
 5
 6
    can you?
        I don't understand your question.
 7
        Well, for instance, you did not get into his computer, so
 8
    you don't know if those websites or the information you saw
 9
    was generated by Mr. Spink; isn't that true?
10
        I don't know if it was made off that computer.
11
        Okay. Well, in fact, you don't know if he in fact created
12
    those websites, or you don't even know if someone else
13
    altered, changed, added to, or deleted from those websites,
14
    do vou?
15
        From the research I found online, the who-is registry, who
16
    it is registered to, all these different websites related
17
    back to his P.O. box in Bellingham related to his Chilliwack
18
    P.O. box that was found in his personal diary or manual in
19
    his house. They all go back to his Baneki business or
20
21
    website, which he admits to running. The different
    bestiality websites all use that same Baneki server. It's
22
    kind of the totality of the events. They have pointer
23
    records on their servers pointing back to the Baneki website.
24
25
        Okay. Well, you mentioned Baneki, the Baneki computing
```

```
company is, in fact, a security services or server that's
 1
    provided to other people; isn't that true?
 2
 3
    Α
        Yes. What -- what --
        Is that right?
 4
        Yes, Baneki offers security --
 5
 6
        So just because --
 7
             MR. MASADA: Your Honor, I object to his not letting
    the witness finish his answer.
 8
 9
             THE COURT: He gets to finish his answer.
10
             MR. PHILLIPS: I apologize.
        (By Mr. Phillips) My question is: Baneki, which is a
11
    company, offered secure servers and websites -- to be able to
12
    do their website things on these servers. Their customers
13
    then could use that website. That doesn't mean that
14
    Mr. Spink had anything to do with those websites, does it,
15
    just because it has the name Baneki Privacy Computing on it?
16
             THE COURT: Mr. Phillips, these questions are
17
    probably better addressed in argument to me.
18
            MR. PHILLIPS: Okay, Your Honor. That's fine.
19
        (By Mr. Phillips) Now, turning to Exhibit Bates No. 554.
20
        What number?
21
        That's the exhibit regarding the photos of the dogs.
22
    Exhibit 13. Bates page 554, at the bottom. I guess it was
23
    associated with the dogs and the horses. That is from
24
25
    Whatcom County when they seized the horses and dogs. Isn't
```

```
it true that there's a notation that the horses and dogs all
 1
    appeared to be in relatively good health; isn't that correct?
 2
 3
        I'm looking at pictures of the dogs, which --
    Α
        Do you not have your exhibits? Second page, Exhibit 13.
 4
     0
        Which?
 5
    Α
 6
        Down at paragraph 3, the long paragraph.
 7
             THE COURT: Do you have the photo itself?
             MR. PHILLIPS: No.
                                  I have a script, Your Honor.
 8
             MR. MASADA: Your Honor, it's not a photo, it's the
 9
10
     humane society records.
             THE COURT: Oh, the list.
11
             MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, the list.
12
                            Do you have that?
13
        (By Mr. Phillips)
        554.
14
    Α
        Look down where it says, "The horses and dogs all appear
15
    to be in relatively good health, but the conditions they were
16
     living in were subpar"; is that right?
17
18
    Α
        Yes.
         Is it fair to say Mr. Spink's horses and dogs, seven dogs,
19
    four horses, were in relatively good health?
20
21
        It's subjective.
        Okay. Now, you also talked about Exitpoint. Exitpoint is
22
     a company; is that right?
23
        Yes.
24
    Α
25
        And it's a company that owns property, owns horses,
```

- perhaps, and owns real estate; isn't that true?
- 2 A There's a lot of things going on with Mr. Spink's
- 3 companies.
- 4 Q Sir, that didn't answer the question.
- 5 A What was your question?
- 6 Q The question had to do with, isn't it true Exitpoint is a
- 7 | company that owns property?
- 8 A I'm trying to think of which property you're saying they
- 9 own.
- 10 Q Any property, sir. It's a company that owns property.
- 11 Horses, Capone.
- 12 A Capone. I've seen limited documentation on Capone, who
- 13 | the actual owner is.
- 14 Q And the other thing you testified to was that there was --
- 15 | that the photos of the dogs were posted by Fausty,
- 16 | F-a-u-s-t-y?
- 17 | A **Yes**.
- 18 Q And I think you mentioned the word Fausty three or four
- 19 times. I believe you say you saw a book with Fausty written
- 20 on it. Do you remember that?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And you also testified that you found websites with the
- 23 word Fausty on it?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Okay. Now, Fausty you are attributing to Mr. Spink, but

- Case 2:05-cr-00085-RSM Document 73 Filed 09/14/10 Page 46 of 94 www.ratemyhorsepro.com anybody could type Fausty and put it on the Internet, 1 couldn't they? 2 3 I suppose they could. I suppose they could. Now, there was one photograph that's part of your exhibit 4 on page 237, I believe, a photo of Mr. Spink next to his 5 6 horse. Do you remember that photo? 7 Yes. Do you know if that photo was, in fact, over ten years old? 9 10 I'm not sure of the age of that photo. So the photo could have been ten years old; is that right? 11 Α Yes.
- 12
- On Planet Earth. 14

13

25

-- on Planet Earth. And you talked about a website and 15 showed websites and that sort of thing. But do you know what 16 an uploader is? 17

Now, you also talked about Hope, that is Hackers --

- What context are you --18
- For instance, if someone posts a blog, in order to be able 19 to ascertain who posted that blog, there would be, like, an 20 21 uploader who would determine who actually posted that blog. And if there is no uploader, you can't say who put that 22 posting on the blog unless you knew who the uploader -- that 23 there was an uploader existing. Did you see any uploaders on 24

those websites where Fausty was mentioned, that's talked

- about in that exhibit?
- 2 A I didn't look for uploaders.
- 3 Q One of your exhibits, 6, 7, 8, perhaps 9, you included
- 4 letters from Mr. Spink to Mr. Tait or Mr. Tait to Mr. Spink;
- 5 is that right?
- 6 A I think they were Mr. Tait to Mr. Spink.
- 7 Q Okay. And, again, you don't know if Mr. Spink had
- 8 permission to communicate or discuss things with Mr. Tait; is
- 9 that right?
- 10 A He was on my caseload at the time, and, no, I didn't give
- 11 him permission to do so.
- 12 Q Well, his prior PO Aiken could have?
- 13 A I don't believe that to be true. There's no records of
- 14 that.
- 15 Q But you don't know that to be true; is that right?
- 16 A I spoke to Jerrod about this one, and I was questioning
- whether he gave him permission about out-of-jurisdiction
- 18 | travel. Once he was transferred to my caseload, it would be
- 19 my call, my permission he'd seek.
- 20 \ Q And you had no contact with Mr. Spink for the entire year;
- 21 is that right?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q You didn't reach out to him?
- 24 A No.
- 25 | Q You didn't check on him to see if he was doing the right

```
www.ratemyhorsepro.com
    thing?
 1
        No.
 2
    Α
        Isn't that right?
 3
    Α
        Yes.
 4
    You didn't check to see if he was committing a crime or
 5
    not. You didn't check his home situation to see how he was
 6
 7
    living; isn't that true?
        I found -- through the jail phone conversations in Maury
    County, Tennessee, I found he was violating his supervision.
        Well, in the jail conversation that you just mentioned or
10
    played before the court, one, in fact, Mr. Spink tells the
11
    person on the other phone, Mr. Tait, that, "I have permission
12
    from my PO to contact people." He said, "Don't worry about
13
    it." Isn't that what was on the CD?
14
    A Yes, that was what was on the CD. No, he didn't have
15
    permission.
16
        From you?
17
18
        From me.
        You received a phone call, I believe, at this initial --
19
    this process began when you received a phone call, I believe
20
21
    you said it was from Detective Chandler; is that right?
        Yes.
22
    Α
        From Tennessee?
23
    0
```

25 And that, then, prompted you, then, to do these website Q.

Yes.

24

Α

```
searches; is that right?
 1
        Yes.
 2
    Α
 3
        And you said, I believe, you found 40 websites and
    thousands of pictures of bestiality?
 4
        Yes.
 5
        Isn't it true that you cannot say that this man posted
 6
 7
    those photographs on those websites?
             MR. MASADA: Your Honor, I object. This has been
 8
    asked and answered, and it's argumentative.
 9
10
             THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
        The zetatracker website, what it is is a forum where
11
    people can come together and share bestiality images. A good
12
    portion of those, Mr. Spink did not post himself, but it's a
13
    forum where they can share, they can trade bestiality images
14
    with each other on the website. You can purchase credits to,
15
    if you didn't have anything to trade, to kind of buy
16
    bestiality pictures. So it's promoting bestiality.
17
         (By Mr. Phillips) But you can't attribute the postings of
18
    those bestiality pictures, you cannot attribute that to
19
    Mr. Spink specifically, can you?
20
21
        And there's a section in the website that says --
        Can you answer that question, please, can you?
             MR. MASADA: Your Honor, I object.
23
             THE COURT: Yes, it's getting ugly.
24
25
             MR. PHILLIPS: Sorry, Your Honor.
```

```
(By Mr. Phillips) If you would please answer the question
 1
    directly, I'd appreciate it. You cannot attribute those
 2
 3
    websites to Mr. Spink; isn't that true?
        There is no doubt in my mind they are from Mr. Spink.
 4
        There's no doubt in your mind, but you have no objective
 5
    evidence; in other words, you do not have anything from his
 6
 7
    computer indicating that he posted those websites, do you?
             MR. MASADA: Your Honor, I'm going to object. This
 8
    is asked and answered. We've gone over this.
 9
10
             THE COURT: It has been, Mr. Phillips.
             MR. PHILLIPS: I'll move on, Your Honor.
11
        (By Mr. Phillips) Let's go to Baneki. You know Mr. Spink
12
    is a founder of Baneki?
13
        That's what it says on his website.
14
        And one of the things you put in the exhibit is, in
15
    Washington State, there's no record or it's been disbanded or
16
    something like that, but it is not a Washington State
17
    corporation, is it?
18
        There's Washington records, there's Nevada records, and
19
    there's also Canadian records of it.
20
    Okay. So you don't know if, for a fact, if Baneki is, in
21
    fact, a company registered to do business in Nevada and/or
22
    Canada; is that right? Not just Washington?
23
        It's -- you can be registered in one state and do business
24
25
    in another. That's not an issue.
```

```
Case 2:05-cr-00085-RSM Document 73 Filed 09/14/10 Page 51 of 94
                                                                   51
                    www.ratemyhorsepro.com
                Now, there were -- you also pointed out some checks
        Okay.
 1
     that you found -- allegedly found on Mr. Spink's property,
 2
 3
    and those checks say Baneki on them; is that right?
         Some say Baneki and some say Exitpoint Stallions.
 4
        None of those checks say Doug Spink; is that right?
 5
 6
        Just in the signature block.
 7
        None of those checks, in the little block saying who the
    check belongs to, none of those checks or checkbooks actually
    belong to Doug Spink, the person?
 9
10
         The checks are drawn on the business account. Mr. Spink
    was using the checks.
11
        And Congress has decided that businesses are entities, are
12
13
    they not?
        I'm not sure what Congress said.
14
             MR. MASADA: Your Honor, I object.
15
             MR. PHILLIPS: I'll move on, Your Honor.
16
             THE COURT: Okay.
17
```

- Q (By Mr. Phillips) Now, you also played a tape or CD or a video of Mr. Spink speaking at the HOPE convention. Do you remember that?
- 21 A Yes.

18

19

20

22 Q All right. And he's talking about his friends, his family
23 has four legs -- his best friend, his life partner, Capone,
24 has four legs and that sort of thing. Could it be at this
25 convention or this opening of this conference that he was

```
being, in fact, satirical in making those comments?
                                                           Did you
 1
     take that serious?
 2
 3
        There's further dialogue in that tape that wasn't played
     for the court. He's wearing a T-shirt that says, "Sex, dogs,
 4
     and rock 'n' roll." We found receipts for that T-shirt.
 5
     Later on in that tape, he says, "My boyfriend is hung like a
 6
    horse."
 7
        I'm sorry, Mr. Robson. That didn't answer my question.
 8
        I wasn't taking that as satire, if that was your question.
 9
        But it could be satirical, saying his life partner is a
10
    horse; is that right?
11
             MR. MASADA:
                          Objection.
12
                          The objection will be sustained.
             THE COURT:
13
        (By Mr. Phillips) You testified about the raid on
14
    Mr. Spink's property and, I believe, that there were 20
15
    people.
16
        Yes.
17
        Some guys in SWAT gear, weapons, M-16s, all that stuff,
18
     going down on this property to do what?
19
        To arrest Mr. Spink without incident, safely.
20
21
        And, in fact, in your report, and anything -- I think
    everything you stated is that the arrest of Mr. Spink was
22
     done without incident; isn't that true?
23
        Yes.
24
    Α
```

Okay. I think you testified that there was safety

```
There was no reports made of the dogs growling or
    concerns.
 1
    biting or trying to attack or go after anybody, was there?
 2
 3
        Animal control has had reports in the past of Mr. Spink's
    dogs lunging at people in public.
 4
        Well, dogs can be protective, but in this case, when 20
 5
    SWAT team members came on his property, there was no violence
 6
 7
    or attempts to bite anyone or do anything unsafe; isn't that
    true?
 8
        There was enough numbers to take care of the situation
 9
10
    safely, yes.
        Okay. In fact, I believe you said that when you got there
11
    or after you got there, animal control was called. But isn't
12
    it true that animal control was already -- was part of the
13
    whole entourage that went to his property?
14
              It was a coordinated effort to ensure the safety of
15
    people and animals.
16
        Animal control was already there. They didn't arrive
17
    there later?
18
        Yes.
19
    Α
       Is it fair to say that the government decided they were
20
21
    going to take his animals at this point?
             MR. MASADA: Your Honor, I object to the form of the
22
    question, "take his animals."
23
```

THE COURT: Sustained.

(By Mr. Phillips) Seize the animals.

24

```
Animal control was called to resolve any possible animal
 1
    issues.
 2
 3
        Well, what animal issues would require a horse trailer,
    other than transporting?
 4
             MR. MASADA: Your Honor, I object. How is this
 5
 6
    relevant?
             THE COURT: Objection is sustained, Counsel.
 7
        (By Mr. Phillips) If I may return a little bit to the
 8
    HOPE thing. I'm going to try to speed it up. Okay? The
 9
    HOPE concert, page 415, in the last HOPE exhibit, Exhibit 21,
10
    go to page 415.
11
        425?
12
    Α
13
        415, sir.
        415.
14
    Α
        One of the featured speakers at this conference was
15
    Mr. Doug Spink, and I think you showed the video of him that
16
17
    was found on YouTube. Adam Savage was also one of the
    featured speakers, isn't that true, halfway down?
18
        Yes.
19
    Α
        And Adam Savage is the esteemed cohost of the popular TV
20
21
    show, MythBusters, on the Discovery Channel, and maker of
    things, will give a captivating talk on the nature of this
22
    particular obsession. He was also on that program; isn't
23
    that true?
24
        Yes.
25
    Α
```

- 1  $\mathbb Q$  Turn to page 421, please. Under "Doug Spink," the first
- 2 | sentence there kind of lays out what he was going to do and
- 3 | the presentation on the subject of corporate legal
- 4 jurisdictions and relative related topics. Isn't that the
- 5 subject of his discussion?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Turn now to one of the probation office reports that you
- 8 prepared that he has prepared and signed for you guys, and
- 9 there's one on page 10, Plaintiff Exhibit No. 25, page 10.
- 10 guess you can get either one of those reports, the monthly
- 11 reports, I want to talk to you about it.
- 12 A Mine aren't in sequential order like yours are.
- 13 Q Well, the question here is: He's supposed to report what
- 14 he's doing for employment and what he's doing to make money
- 15 and income; is that right?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Okay. And there is a specific section that requires him
- 18 to do that. Are you familiar with that section --
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 -- middle of the page on the right-hand column? Okay.
- 21 A The name of the immediate supervisor?
- Q Well, the name of the type of job, I guess. It's hard to
- 23 read. But he has in there "tech lead"?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And when you write in "tech lead," there isn't much room

```
www.ratemyhorsepro.com
     to write anything else, is there?
 1
        I've had other people with longer job titles fill that in
 2
 3
    there.
        Well, "tech lead" kind of fills it up, doesn't it?
 4
                                                              Okay.
    Never mind.
 5
           You testified that when you went to Mr. Spink's home
 6
     about safety concerns, you had mentioned something about
 7
    Hells Angels?
 8
        Yes.
 9
    Α
        When you went to his cabin, you found no knives, no guns,
10
    no AR-15s, no bomb-making material, or anything like that;
11
    isn't that true?
12
13
        No.
    Α
        No or yes?
14
        No. We found knives and bear mace there.
15
        Did he attempt to use any of those there?
16
17
        No.
    Α
         In his report, I believe you testified, on Exhibit No. 5,
18
    Mr. Tovar or Baneki were his employers. Isn't it true that
19
    in your investigation over the last few months, you never
20
21
    contacted Mr. Tovar, his supervisor, or contacted the people
    at Baneki?
22
        I spoke with Paul Peterson. He's a member of Baneki.
23
    Mr. Peterson describes himself as the accountant for Baneki
24
```

Privacy Computing. He told me that the company was bringing

- in roughly \$12- to \$14,000 a month in revenues and that
- 2 Mr. Spink was the leader of Baneki.
- 3 Q Mr. Spink was a lead tech of Baneki. Isn't that what
- 4 Mr. Peterson told you?
- 5 A Leader, CEO.
- 6 Q Did he say leader, founder, or CEO?
- 7 A He was describing him as the head entity of it.
- 8 Q Isn't it true there is a difference between a founder and
- 9 a CEO?
- 10 A **Yes.**
- 11 Q Let me back up. Of all the documents that you have, do
- 12 you see Mr. Spink signing as the CEO, chief executive
- officer, of Baneki, of anything? Not chairman, not founder,
- 14 but CE0?
- 15 A I misspoke. Not CEO, but CTO.
- 16 Q Technical officer?
- 17 | A Yes.
- 18 Q Thank you.
- Mr. Spink reported that he was renting property or
- 20 paying rent for the property where he was staying. Isn't it
- 21 true that the owner of the property was Exitpoint, and he was
- 22 paying rent to Exitpoint?
- 23 A I have no records of that.
- 24 Q Well, the records that you have, in fact, you say that
- 25 there was a sale of the property, a contract to buy that

```
www.ratemyhorsepro.com
    property that was with Exitpoint Stallions; isn't that true?
 1
        I believe so, yes.
 2
 3
        Okay.
    Q.
           You testified on the checks that, Mr. Spink, it was
 4
    obvious he was using the checks, that is the checks from
 5
    Baneki, for his personal use, and one of the things you had
 6
 7
     talked about was the animal hospital; is that right?
        Yes.
 8
    Α
        And also Check No. 53116 was for health insurance; is that
 9
10
    right?
        I don't know exactly what that is.
11
     Okay. We'll move on.
12
           The car that you talked about, the Suburban that you
13
     showed, that Suburban was, in fact, owned by Baneki and not
14
    Mr. Spink; isn't that true?
15
        On the check, I don't remember if it says Baneki or
16
    Exitpoint, but it also says Mr. Spink's name on it also.
17
        I'm talking about the Suburban. Okay? You did not find
18
     any registration or certificates of ownership showing that
19
    Mr. Spink owned that Suburban. It was, in fact, owned by
20
21
    Baneki; isn't that true?
        I believe it was either Baneki or Exitpoint Stallions,
22
23
    but, yes.
```

Okay. Exhibit No. 5, the date on that exhibit was

11/14/2009. That one, I guess, is a letter. And --

24

- 1 A Did you say Exhibit 5?
- 2 Q I may have misspoke. Hold off. My point is: Mr. Spink
- 3 contacted Mr. Tait preceding his guilty finding for the four
- 4 or five B felonies in Tennessee, which occurred in January
- 5 2010; isn't that true?
- 6 A I missed your question.
- 7 Q Okay. Mr. Tait was found guilty -- pled guilty in January
- 8 **2010**; is that right?
- 9 A I believe so.
- 10 Q Okay. So before that time, and, in fact, it was after
- 11 that time when, at sentencing, that's when he became a felon;
- 12 | isn't that true?
- 13 A No. He was convicted of a felony in Washington State in
- 14 **1997** --
- 15 0 Oh, that's right.
- 16 A -- in Kitsap County. He was charged with a B felony for
- 17 | indecent liberties.
- 18 Q You're right. The Washington conviction that he served,
- 19 that he was convicted on was for a misdemeanor criminal
- 20 trespass. That is the Enumclaw case; is that right?
- 21 A He was convicted in '97 of a felony, which makes Mr. Tait
- 22 a felon. He was also arrested for trespassing in Enumclaw in
- 23 **2005.**
- 24 Q And that was a misdemeanor, right?
- 25 A **Yes.**

www.ratemyhorsepro.com All right. 1 Q In the tape that you played, Mr. Spink said that Jerrod 2 3 Aiken gave him permission to talk to felons years ago. Is that what he said on the tape? 4 I think he said his probation officer gave him permission 5 to talk to felons. 6 7 Years ago? I don't know. I don't recall. 8 That's fine. 9 Q. MR. MASADA: Your Honor, the tape speaks for itself. 10 MR. PHILLIPS: That's fine. 11 (By Mr. Phillips) You had mentioned Mr. Taggert, I 12 believe, and Kenny Thomason, and other people who sent other 13 inmates that you found letters, do you know, in fact, if 14 those people, the other inmates that you found the letters at 15 Mr. Spink's property, were, in fact, part of this RDAP 16 program, and they were supportive of one another after they 17 got out? 18 Kenny Thomason was arrested with James Michael Tait due to 19 20 the bestiality-related charges in Tennessee. 21 How about Taggert? So I don't know if Kenny Thomason also served federal 22

custody time, either. As far as Mr. Taggert goes, I'm not

sure that he's on -- if he's committed a federal crime or

23

24

25

not.

- 1 Q Okay. And when Mr. Spink reported on his monthly
- 2 | supervision report about paying rent, he noted that he paid
- 3 cash, is that right, \$1,200 a month? Page 35.
- 4 A That's what's written, yes.
- 5 Q Okay. The Baneki Check 5282 for the American Alpine Club,
- 6 do you, in fact, know if that was not for advertising for
- 7 Baneki?
- 8 A I'm not sure what American Alpine Club is.
- 9 Q And the Associates in Family Medicine, do you know if that
- 10 was, in fact, health insurance?
- 11 | A I do not know.
- 12 Q All right. Lastly, you talk about the videos with
- 13 Mr. Clarke having sex with the dogs?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q I think there was seven dogs that belonged to Mr. Spink
- 16 that were in that area. You did not see Mr. Spink having sex
- 17 with any dog on those videos, did you?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q But you, in fact, did, in fact, see Mr. Clarke having sex
- 20 with dogs?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Two short questions: Do you, in fact, know that the
- 23 utility knife that was found on his property was 100 percent
- 24 approved by his PO?
- 25 A There were several knives found.

- www.ratemyhorsepro.com There was apparently a utility knife. The question is: 1 Do you know if that was approved by his PO? 2 3 Α No. And do you know who owns Exitpoint? 4 Douglas Spink. 5 Α And who else? 6 0 I believe his mother is on the board also. 7 MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you. MR. MASADA: Just a couple questions, Your Honor. 9 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. MASADA: 11 As an employee of the U.S. Probation Office, are federal 12 felons supposed to be in contact at all? 13 Α No. 14 Now, to the best of your knowledge, would a lead tech have 15 check writing authority for a company? 16 No. 17 Α Now, there was a series of questions about Mr. Spink's use 18 of the checks for Baneki and Exitpoint Stallions. On his 19 monthly report -- if you'd flip with me to Exhibit 25. 20 21 A Okay. And is there a place on there where he has to disclose the 22 vehicles that he uses? 23 Yes. 24 Α
- 25 O And what does he disclose?

- 1 A It says he drives a 1992 Chevy Suburban, green, registered
- 2 to Exitpoint Stallions.
- 3 Q And who is it registered to?
- 4 A Exitpoint Stallions.
- 5 Q Flipping back to Exhibit 48, what is 48?
- 6 A Exhibit 48 is the coupon book for the 1992 Chevy Suburban
- 7 to Baneki, Inc.
- 8 Q So Douglas Spink was purchasing the Suburban through
- 9 Baneki, not Exitpoint?
- 10 A Both are written in purchasing the truck.
- 11 Q There was also questions about whether Mr. Spink was
- 12 appropriately disclosing his finances. If you would turn
- 13 with me to Exhibit 49. Do you know who actually owns the
- 14 property in Sumas, Washington, where Douglas Spink was
- 15 living?
- 16 A At the time, I believe the owner was MGB Enterprises.
- 17 \ Q Do the Vanderpools, does that ring a bell?
- 18 A **Yes**.
- 19 Q If you would turn with me to Exhibit 49, to Bates No. 945.
- 20 What is that?
- 21 A Page 954 is a company check from Baneki Privacy Computing
- 22 to Marve Vanderpool.
- 23 Q But, again, Mr. Spink was purchasing the property through
- 24 | Exitpoint Stallions; is that correct?
- 25 A **Yes.**

```
Is it fair to say he was using these two entities
 1
    interchangeably?
 2
 3
    Α
        Yes.
        Now, counsel asked you a series of questions about the
 4
    fact you did not find any information or data on Mr. Spink's
 5
    computers. Why is that?
 6
 7
    Α
        The --
             MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: Grounds?
10
             MR. PHILLIPS: Beyond the scope.
             THE COURT: Overruled.
11
        I attempted to retrieve the information on Mr. Spink's
12
    computer using the image scan, the FBI software tool. What I
13
    believe is on the computer --
14
             MR. PHILLIPS: Objection to what this person
15
    believes, Your Honor; speculation.
16
             THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.
17
        What I believe, or what I've been able to find, as
18
    computers are very heavily encrypted, and I do not have the
19
    expertise to break that encryption on computers.
20
21
    One more point, Officer Robson. There was some questions
    about whether or not Mr. Spink's prior probation officer,
22
    Jerrod Aiken, provided his permission to contact felons.
                                                               Ιs
23
    Mr. Spink's file maintained in the ordinary course of
24
    business?
25
```

```
A Yes.
```

- 2  $\bigcirc$  And typically isn't there some indication in the file if
- 3 there is permission for him to contact felons?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q In your review of the file, was there any indication that
- 6 Mr. Spink had received permission to contact felons?
- 7 A There's nothing in the chronological records to indicate
- 8 that.
- 9 Q And, again, in October through December of 2009, when
- 10 Mr. Spink was contacting Mr. Tait and Mr. Thomason in
- 11 Tennessee, you were his probation officer, correct?
- 12 A **Yes.**
- 13 Q Counsel questioned you about the amount of contact you had
- 14 with Mr. Spink. Do you have an explanation for that?
- 15 A Part of the reason for that is the officer safety
- concerns, hearing in the tapes that he's preparing for --
- 17 Q I'm sorry. Maybe you misheard my question. The lack
- of -- the fact that you had not directly contacted Mr. Spink
- prior to executing the arrest in the search.
- 20 A Another one of the concerns was the sophistication of
- 21 Mr. Spink with computers, and the concerns being that he
- would destroy evidence on his computers. Searching some of
- the websites, we're finding multiple pictures of bestiality
- 24 and some -- with very youthful participants --
- MR. PHILLIPS: Object; nonresponsive.

```
THE COURT: Yeah, I'm not sure it is. The question
 1
    he's asking you is: Why haven't you seen him for a year?
 2
 3
        (By Mr. Masada) Why haven't you spoken or met with
    Mr. Spink prior to this entire investigation?
 4
        He was transferred to low-risk caseload. While he was on
 5
    low-risk caseload, it was found that he was associating --
 6
 7
    violating his conditions of supervision. I continued to
    investigate the case.
 8
        Was your relationship with Mr. Spink fairly typical for
 9
    other low supervision individuals under your supervision?
10
        Yes.
11
    Α
             MR. MASADA: No further questions, Your Honor.
12
                            Briefly, Your Honor.
             MR. PHILLIPS:
13
                         Very briefly.
             THE COURT:
14
                          RECROSS-EXAMINATION
15
    BY MR. PHILLIPS:
16
        You testified, when counsel was asking you about whether
17
    Mr. Spink could have contact with any felons, isn't it true
18
    that the standard condition was, "The defendant shall not
19
    associate with any person engaged in criminal activity and
20
21
    shall not associate with any person convicted of a felony,
    comma, unless granted permission to do so by a probation
22
    officer." Isn't that the condition?
23
        Yes.
24
    Α
25
             MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you.
```

```
THE COURT: You may step down.
 1
        Detective, come up and be sworn.
 2
 3
     STEVEN ROFF,
                                HAVING BEEN FIRST DULY SWORN,
                                TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
 4
             THE CLERK: Please state your full name and spell
 5
 6
    your last name.
             THE WITNESS: My name is Steven Aaron Roff, R-o-f-f,
 7
     as in Frank.
 8
                           DIRECT EXAMINATION
 9
    BY MS. ROE:
10
        And your occupation?
11
        I'm a detective with the Whatcom County Sheriff's Office.
12
        And were you involved in the execution of a search warrant
13
    at Doug Spink's residence in Whatcom County on April 14th,
14
    2010?
15
        Yes, I was.
16
    Α
        Why were you involved?
17
        I was involved to assist the U.S. Marshals with an arrest
18
    warrant and U.S. Probation with an execution of a probation
19
    search.
20
21
        Okay. What did you do?
        I stood by while the search warrant, the arrest warrant
22
    was served. Once Mr. Spink was in custody and the United
23
     States Probation Department was going to start their search,
24
25
     I cleared the scene.
```

- 1 Q Did you return that day?
- 2 A I did, about an hour and a half later.
- 3 Q Why?
- 4 A I returned for a criminal investigation on state and local
- 5 charges of animal cruelty in the first degree.
- 6 Q When you first arrived, how many people were already at
- 7 | Spink's residence?
- 8 A Oh --
- 9 Q Excluding law enforcement.
- 10 A **Oh. One.**
- 11 Q Who?
- 12 A Stephen A. Clarke.
- 13 Q And he was there with Mr. Spink?
- 14 A Yes, he was. He was there earlier when the arrest warrant
- 15 was executed.
- 16 O What about animals?
- 17 A There were four horses and seven dogs.
- 18 Q Take a look at Exhibit 14. Have you seen that exhibit
- 19 before?
- 20 A Yes, I have.
- 21 \ Q And do you recognize those as the animals that were
- 22 present in Mr. Spink's cabin that day?
- 23 A Yes. They were running around outside of the cabin.
- 24 Q Okay. Look at Exhibit 12. After that initial page, do
- 25 you see some photos taken outdoors, and do you recognize

## those?

- 2 A Yes. That's the outside exterior of Mr. Spink's cabin.
- 3 Q Look at the last page in that exhibit, please. The last
- 4 page in Exhibit 12, do you recognize that? They might be out
- 5 of order.
- 6 A No. This appears to be a checkbook for Exitpoint
- 7 Stallions.
- 8 Q Look through those pictures. Do you recognize a picture
- 9 of Mr. Clarke in there?
- 10 A Yes, I do. Yes, right there. That's Mr. Clarke outside
- of Mr. Spink's cabin.
- 12 Q Are you familiar with that scene, having been there
- 13 before?
- 14 A From earlier in the day, yes.
- 15 Q Go ahead and put that exhibit back together, if you don't
- 16 | **mind.**
- Did you find or take custody of any videos that day?
- 18 A Yes, I did.
- 19 Q And tell us how that happened.
- 20 A Once I left the scene of the initial execution of the
- 21 search warrant, I later received a phone call from Probation
- 22 Officer Angela McGlynn, informing me that the video camera
- 23 and videotape had been located inside of Mr. Spink's
- 24 residence that showed Mr. Clarke engaged in sexual conduct
- 25 with dogs on the premises.

- 1 Q Take a look at what's been marked Exhibit 15 and 16.
- 2 A 15 and 16.
- 3 Q And do you recognize those?
- 4 A Yes. These are DVDs that I had the videos put on to.
- 5 Q And did you view those in their entirety?
- 6 A Yes, I did.
- 7 Q Tell us briefly, summarize what is on those videos, and
- 8 how they are set up. Do they have date times and stamps on
- 9 them?
- 10 A Yes. They're date and time stamped, and the videos are
- 11 set up like to videos on a tripod, and the footage on the
- video -- some of the videos show Mr. Clarke engaged in sexual
- 13 | conduct with dogs.
- 14 Q When you say "sexual conduct," do you mean anal
- 15 penetration?
- 16 A Yes, I do.
- 17 \ Q Do you recognize the dogs shown on those videotapes?
- 18 A **Yes, I do.**
- 19 Q Are those Mr. Spink's dogs that are in the pictures and
- 20 that you saw there that day?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Was there anyone else on those recordings, other than
- 23 Mr. Clarke or the dogs?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Who?

```
1 A Mr. Spink's voice can be heard on the recordings.
```

- 2 Q What's going on at the different times when Mr. Spink's
- 3 voice is heard?
- 4 A Mr. Clarke is engaged in sexual conduct with the dogs,
- 5 which includes the dogs having anal penetration into
- 6 Mr. Spink's anus as well as Mr. Clarke.
- 7 MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, Your Honor. That was not
- 8 Mr. Spink's anus.
- 9 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Mr. Clarke. I apologize.
- 10 And Mr. Clarke holding some of the dogs by their penises and
- 11 | the dogs' penises were erect.
- 12 Q (By Ms. Roe) Taking a look at 42 and 43, did you make
- 13 reports of those tapes indicating what was going on and
- 14 making verbatim transcripts of the relevant portions when
- 15 Mr. Spink was on the audio?
- 16 A Yes, I did.
- 18 A Yes, and I have copies of those reports, if I can refer to
- 19 those.
- 20 Yes. On Exhibit, I think it's 42, page 13.
- 21 A May I just read from this to get all the detail and the
- 22 exact times?
- 23 O On the lines?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, I object to the witness

```
reading.
 1
             MS. ROE:
                       They're not in evidence.
 2
 3
             THE COURT: What are you asking him?
             MS. ROE: Can he tell us the setup and give us the
 4
    specific conversation between Mr. Spink and Mr. Clarke.
 5
    Reading, ensuring accuracy as to the conversation.
 6
 7
        (By Ms. Roe) Detective, can you tell us what's happening
    on the video at the time this conversation occurs?
        On April 10, 2010, at approximately 1013 hours, there's a
 9
    white male, later identified as Stephen Clarke. He was on
10
    the video engaged in sexual conduct with a mastiff-style dog.
11
    Mr. Clarke was on his knees, and he assisted the mastiff by
12
    mounting him and engaging in sexual conduct. The mastiff
13
    appeared to insert his penis into Mr. Clarke's anus.
14
15
        Okay. And what's the conversation during this event?
        At approximately 2025 hours, it would be 11 minutes and 31
16
    seconds into the video, the front door of the cabin opens.
17
    You can't see who enters, but a male engages Mr. Clarke in
18
    conversation. I recognize that male's voice as being
19
    Mr. Spink. And the conversation goes:
20
21
                "Aha, caught in the act. I shouldn't be
    surprised."
22
        Clarke: "He feels a lot bigger than those shepherds."
23
24
        Spink: "Yeah. I have warned people about that. Not you.
25
    You don't need to be warned. But you could imagine people
```

- used to shepherds stumble into him thinking, wow, he is not a
- 2 big dog. Only one person, yipping, tied with him before.
- 3 I'm never doing this again."
- 4 Q Is that the end of the conversation at that time?
- $5 \mid A \quad Yes.$
- 6 Q And is there the noise of someone opening the door, as
- 7 | though someone is leaving?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Is there a little later, in that videotape, another
- 10 conversation? If you want to turn to the next page.
- 11 A Yes. At approximately 2029 hours.
- 12 Q Was Clarke still engaged in sexual contact with the
- 13 mastiff?
- 14 A Yes. At approximately 2027 hours, 13 minutes and 27
- seconds into the video, the door opened again. You can't see
- who enters the door. At approximately 2029 hours, 15 minutes
- 17 | 37 seconds into the video, Mr. Clarke is still engaged in
- 18 | sexual conduct with the mastiff, and Mr. Spink and Mr. Clarke
- 19 have a second conversation.
- 21 A Yes, I can. Clarke: "Well, in terms of sexual
- performance, he is certainly on par." Spink: "No doubt.
- 23 That has never been a weak spot for him."
- 24 At approximately 2029 hours, 15 minutes and 50 seconds
- into the video, the mastiff disengaged with Mr. Clarke.

- Clarke: "Oh, damn. I didn't know it. I just made a lot of
- 2 mess." Spink: "The good news is, in the context of the
- 3 existing muddy, filthy mess that is my cabin right now, it is
- 4 not even," and then Mr. Spink stopped talking, or I couldn't
- 5 copy what was said.
- 6 Q Is there another video that is indicated that it was taken
- 7 on 4/13 of this year?
- 8 A Yes, there is.
- 9 Q Okay. And at approximately 1806 on that video, 57 minutes
- 10 into it, is there a conversation between Mr. Spink and
- 11 Mr. Clarke?
- 12 A Yes, there is.
- 13 Q What is Mr. Clarke doing at the time?
- 14 A He's outside of Mr. Spink's residence, and he's engaged in
- 15 sexual conduct with a German shepherd.
- 16 Q Is the conversation not about the actual sexual conduct,
- 17 but about the horses and that they're making noise in the
- 18 area?
- 19 | A **Yes, it is.**
- 20 Q Okay. Can you see Mr. Spink on the video at the time?
- 21 A No, you cannot.
- 22 O Do you recognize it as being Mr. Spink's residence?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Is there another video tape, which would be No. 16?
- 25 A **Yes.**

```
75
                    www.ratemyhorsepro.com
        And did you view that in its entirety?
 1
     Q
        Yes.
 2
    Α
 3
        And looking at what's, I believe, your report from -- on
     that tape, which is marked as Exhibit 43, in Video 4, with
 4
     the markings of 4/13/10 at 1818, is there a conversation that
 5
     follows the video between Spink and Clarke?
 6
 7
        Yes.
    Α
        Tell the court what's happening on the video at the time.
 8
        At approximately 1818 hours, the video starts, and it
 9
     shows a horse's erect penis. At about 1909 hours, the
10
     location changes from outside to inside of Mr. Spink's cabin.
11
     It shows a male subject holding a Great Dane's penis.
12
    appeared that the Great Dane was ejaculating, and the subject
13
    was measuring the Great Dane's penis size with calipers.
14
        And is there conversation?
15
        Yes, there is.
16
    Α
        Tell us the conversation.
17
        At 1911 hours, 2 minutes and 50 seconds into the video,
18
     Clarke and Spink have the following conversation:
19
        Clarke: "Well, I am impressed."
20
21
        Spink:
                 "Yeah."
        Clarke: "Although I am surprised he is not actually wider
22
     than Bujo, the same, 3.62."
23
```

Spink: "I think his shaft is definitely bigger."

"Oh, yeah. He may have a little more volume in

24

25

Clarke:

```
that knot."
1
        Spink: "He's got that little hump along the top of it
 2
    that is kind of common for a certain kind of Dane."
 3
        Clarke: "I can see why he was such a good tie."
 4
        Spink: "Because he is such a good boy."
 5
        At that point in the video, does Mr. Clarke appear on the
 6
    video? Can you see his face or his head?
        Yes.
    Α
        And does it appear that he may be engaging in oral sex
9
10
    with the dog?
        Yes.
11
    Α
        And then is there a further discussion between Clarke and
12
    Spink?
13
        Yes.
14
        What's that discussion?
15
                 "Good boy."
        Clarke:
16
        I'm sorry. Is he referencing the Great Dane?
17
        Yes, he is. Mr. Clarke is still holding the Great Dane's
18
    erect penis.
19
        Clarke: "Good boy."
20
21
                 "Two. That's going to mean a big nap."
        Spink:
        Further on in that video, does it show Mr. Clarke engaged
22
    in measuring the different dogs at Spink's cabin, the size of
23
    the penises?
24
25
        Yes.
    Α
```

```
And did you later take into evidence a piece of paper with
 1
    markings or notations that appear to be related to the
 2
 3
    numbers said on those videos?
        Yes.
 4
    Α
        Okay. Showing you what's been marked Exhibit 18, please,
 5
 6
    do you recognize that?
       I do.
 7
    Α
        What is it?
        This is the note that you just described.
 9
    recovered from Mr. Clarke's rental car during the execution
10
    of the search warrant.
11
        Does it have a list of dogs at Mr. Spink's house?
12
        It does.
13
    Α
        And measurements in what? Centimeters?
14
        I'm assuming, yes.
15
        Was there further conversation on that tape between Clarke
16
    and Spink, and is it about the measurements and the size and
17
     the appearance of the dogs' penises?
18
        Yes.
19
    Α
        Does Mr. Spink ever engage in the measurements that you
20
    see?
21
        No.
22
             MS. ROE: Government offers 18.
23
             THE COURT: Any objection to 18?
24
25
             MR. PHILLIPS: No, Your Honor.
```

```
THE COURT: 18 is admitted.
 1
                         (EXHIBIT 18 ADMITTED.)
 2
 3
             MS. ROE: No further questions.
                           CROSS-EXAMINATION
 4
    BY MR. PHILLIPS:
 5
        Good afternoon, Detective.
 6
        Good afternoon.
        You know Mr. Spink?
        Correct.
 9
    Α

    You've had contact with him prior to this last incident;

10
    is that right?
11
        Yes, I have, sir.
12
    Α
        Back in 2008; is that right?
13
        Yes, sir.
14
        When his horse, Capone, was stolen?
15
        Correct.
16
    Α
        And you were involved at that time?
17
        Yeah, I was the lead investigator.
18
        So you knew the person who claimed the horse or kidnapped
19
    the horse, Ms. Super; is that right?
20
        Yes, I did.
21
        Okay. Now, Mr. Spink had not been charged in state court;
     isn't that right?
23
    A No, but I referred him.
24
25
        I'm sorry. If you could just answer my question.
```

```
MS. ROE:
                       Objection, Your Honor. He's allowed to
 1
     answer the question.
 2
 3
             THE COURT: He answered the question.
         (By Mr. Phillips) Mr. Spink was not charged in state
 4
    court, was he?
 5
        No, not yet.
 6
        And you did not see, in those videos, Mr. Spink engage in
 7
     any sexual activity with any animal; isn't that true?
 8
        No, I did not.
 9
    Α
        Now, you testified that you found a video camera, I
10
    believe it was a video -- a little Sony; is that right?
11
        Correct.
12
    Α
        And is it not true that Mr. Clarke is from England?
13
        Yes.
14
        Is it also true that there was some difficulty pulling up
15
    the video because the formatting of that camera was from the
16
    UK?
17
18
        Correct.
        You testified that when you were there for the initial
19
    execution of the arrest warrant, you left, and then you came
20
21
    back for investigation of bestiality?
        Correct.
22
    Α
        Okay. Now, your subsequent investigation of bestiality
23
    had to do with Mr. Clarke, because Mr. Spink was already
24
25
    taken into custody; isn't that true?
```

- 1 A Correct.
- 2 So when you spoke of returning to investigate bestiality,
- you were talking about Mr. Clarke; isn't that right?
- 4 A Yes, sir.
- 5 Q Mr. Clarke was, in fact, arrested, charged, found guilty,
- 6 convicted, and served about 30 days in jail; is that right?
- 7 A That sounds about correct, yes.
- 8 Q Isn't it true the Whatcom County Humane Society was on the
- 9 | scene to assist the United States Marshal's Office?
- 10 A Yes, it was.
- 11 Q And do you remember them arriving there about 0729, 0730
- 12 hours? That is, the humane society.
- 13 A At the sheriff's office?
- 14 Q At Mr. Spink's property.
- 15 A Can you repeat the time?
- 16 O Do you remember the time that they arrived?
- 17 A It would have been about 1000 hours or shortly thereafter
- 18 when the arrest warrant was executed.
- 19 O Sorry. I misunderstood, then.
- 20 And, in fact, they were there at the time the arrest
- warrant was executed, and there was a horse trailer; isn't it
- 22 true?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 Q And isn't it not also true that the Whatcom County
- 25 | Sheriff's decided they were going to seize Mr. Spink's horse,

```
Capone, at that time; isn't that true?
 1
             MS. ROE:
                       Objection to relevancy.
 2
 3
             MR. PHILLIPS: It goes to bias, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: Overruled.
 4
        That's not true.
 5
        (By Mr. Phillips) Well, isn't it true that when you
 6
     arrived on the scene, you asked Mr. Spink about Capone?
 7
        Yes.
    Α
        And isn't it true that you asked him -- and you knew who
 9
10
    Capone was?
        Correct.
11
    A
        Let me clarify one thing. You had given some graphic
12
    descriptions of what you saw on the video, and isn't it true
13
     that from what you -- from what you could see, the video was
14
    being held by a -- the video camera was being held on a
15
     tripod or some sort of mechanism that held it in place; is
16
    that right?
17
18
        Correct.
        It didn't appear to be handheld, as though Mr. Spink was
19
    assisting?
20
21
        No.
             MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you.
                          REDIRECT EXAMINATION
23
    BY MS. ROE:
24
25
        Detective, take a look at Exhibits 45 and 46, please.
```

```
Yes.
 1
    Α
        Do you recognize those?
 2
 3
        45 looks like it's going to be a judgement and sentence
     for Stephen Clarke in Whatcom County Superior Court.
 4
        46?
 5
        46 looks like it is a statement of defendant on plea of
 6
    guilty to a non-sex offense in Whatcom County Superior Court.
 7
        Is that Mr. Clarke's statement?
        Yes.
 9
    Α
        Are those documents both relating to this incident?
10
        Yes, they are.
11
    Α
             MS. ROE: I offer 45 and 46.
12
             THE COURT: Any objection?
13
             MR. PHILLIPS: No objection to 45 or 46.
14
             THE COURT: 45 and 46 are both in.
15
                     (EXHIBIT 45 and 46 ADMITTED.)
16
         (By Ms. Roe) Detective Roff, you've been to this cabin.
17
    How big is it?
18
        It's not that big at all. From what I remember, one room,
19
    100, 200 square feet, at the most.
20
21
                           RECROSS-EXAMINATION
    BY MR. PHILLIPS:
22
        You've testified that you've been to that particular
23
    property before in Sumas?
24
25
        Just that day.
```

Α

```
Not before this incident?
 1
    Q
        Not before the incident.
 2
    Α
 3
        That was the first time you'd been to this property; isn't
    that true?
 4
        That was the first time I'd been to the property
 5
 6
             MR. PHILLIPS: Thank vou.
             THE COURT: You may step down.
 7
             MS. ROE: Your Honor, the other testimony the
 8
    government would present would be the sworn affidavit of
 9
    Mr. Clarke. He's in the courthouse today, but we're offering
10
    this by way of affidavit in lieu of live testimony.
11
             THE COURT: That's your agreement, Mr. Phillips?
12
             MR. PHILLIPS: No, sir, Your Honor, we do not. We
13
    object in the strongest terms. We believe an affidavit in
14
    lieu of live testimony denies my client his Sixth Amendment
15
    right to confrontation.
16
             THE COURT: So you'd like the witness to be produced
17
    to testify?
18
             MR. PHILLIPS: No, Your Honor, I think that testimony
19
    should be excluded.
20
21
             THE COURT: Well, it's not going to be excluded.
    you don't want to stipulate to the affidavit, then we'll have
22
    to call the witness. Is that what your client wants?
23
             MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, the problem with the
24
25
    affidavit is that we received it just prior to this hearing,
```

```
and we have not -- I've had no chance to really read it, so
 1
    I'm not sure what all was entirely included in that.
 2
 3
             THE COURT: We've been going since two o'clock.
    We'll give our court reporter a ten-minute break.
 4
    affidavit is three and a half pages long, so that will give
 5
 6
    you time to go over it.
 7
                         (A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)
             MS. ROE: Your Honor, I believe the defense will
 8
    agree that the affidavit of Mr. Clarke may come in as
 9
    substantive evidence. They waive their right to have him
10
    appear in person, but instead of or in lieu of
11
    cross-examining him, they wish to submit the notations or the
12
    notes of a prior proffer he made. So that is what they're
13
    doing in lieu of confronting him.
14
             THE COURT: All right.
15
             MR. PHILLIPS: That's true, Your Honor.
16
             THE COURT: And do you have that, Mr. Phillips?
17
             MR. PHILLIPS: The court has it.
18
             THE COURT: It's the one I'm looking at?
19
             MS. ROE: Yes.
20
21
             THE COURT: All right. Counsel, any other witnesses
    on behalf of the government at all?
22
             MS. ROE: Not from the government, Your Honor.
23
             THE COURT: Mr. Phillips, have you discussed with
24
25
    your client his right to testify if he would like?
```

```
MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, Your Honor.
 1
             THE COURT: Well, first of all, does he intend to
 2
 3
    call any other witnesses?
             MR. PHILLIPS: No.
 4
             THE COURT: After discussing with him his right
 5
 6
    testify or his absolute right to remain silent, what does he
    wish to do?
 7
             MR. PHILLIPS: He wishes to remain silent, Your
 8
 9
    Honor.
             THE COURT: Mr. Phillips, let me hear from you in
10
    terms of argument. He's admitted to three, but tell me why
11
    you don't think the government has proven these by a
12
    preponderance of the evidence.
13
             MR. PHILLIPS: With respect to the violation,
14
    allegation No. 1, having to do with the animal cruelty
15
    statute, it's our position, Your Honor, that this -- that
16
    matter is not properly before the court, and that that is a
17
    state charge, and the state has not arrested my client.
18
    have not charged him. He has not been convicted of that
19
    charge.
20
21
        So our position is that the government should not be able
    to argue that there's a criminal offense, which is a state
22
    offense, when Mr. Spink has not been convicted or charged
23
    with that offense.
24
25
        It's my understanding that the evidentiary hearing for his
```

supervised release is not to put on a mini hearing or mini trial to ascertain whether he's guilty of that crime.

Clearly, there are rules in play when you have a trial, being found guilty of a crime, that just do not exist in this situation. The standard of proof is different, the preponderance, as opposed to beyond a reasonable doubt. He has not been found guilty or even arrested or even charged with the state offenses; therefore, it's our position that this is not properly before this court, and we'd ask the court to throw out that petition on the basis of that.

And in addition, the statute is untested -- that is, the Washington State statute is untested -- and it would be our position it may be even unconstitutional, therefore it should not be a valid basis for this court to find that he violated or violated the condition that he not commit a state crime because there's no proof that he's committed a state crime. Proof of crime done with a conviction and a judgment and sentence, and that does not exist in this case.

With respect to inmates and contact with inmates and that sort of thing, Your Honor, my client insists that he, in fact, had permission from Mr. Aiken. What's more telling is that the video or the audio that was taken of the telephone call, Mr. Spink speaks, in fact, to that, that he has had permission to have contact with the felons by Mr. Aiken, prior PO, which would negate that allegation that he's had

contact with people who are felons, because he's had permission to do that. That would include Mr. Tait as well as Mr. Taggert or Tovar or whatever his name was.

I think it's also important, Your Honor, for the court to understand that the letters that were found were out in the open, the letter that he received. So there was no attempt on his part to hide or keep that information hidden. He kept the letters. He could have burned them or threw them away if he thought that was, in fact, not something he should be doing. He thought he had permission, the letters were there, the government found them, and that's why we're here, at least, on that allegation.

With respect to the truth of the report, Your Honor, I believe the government is failing to ascertain the difference between entities, between a person and a corporation.

Clearly, Exitpoint Stallions and Baneki are separate corporations. This court had no prohibitions that he not be allowed to work for Baneki. He, in fact, worked for Baneki. He reported properly that he was a tech lead. The government is trying to change -- use the word "chairman" to show that he was doing something different, but -- and the government is trying to use the other words to describe his activities, such as chief technical officer, but, in fact, he was a tech lead, and that was properly reported.

That also goes to the ownership of the Suburban. It goes

to the ownership of the real estate. In fact, the prohibition is that he not have a personal checking account without the knowledge of the PO. He had no personal checking account, and that's directly off the language of the conditions imposed by this court.

Your Honor, we believe that the government has failed to meet, by a preponderance of the evidence, the allegations that are contested here, especially Allegation No. 1 just doesn't seem quite kosher that he could be found guilty of committing a crime in this court under these circumstances, under this standard, when, in fact, he has not been found guilty in state -- or charged by the state or convicted by the state. So we'd ask the court to disallow that allegation or find that allegation not committed.

Unless there's something else, that's all I have.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Phillips. Ms. Roe?

MS. ROE: Briefly, Your Honor. As this court is well aware, probation reports that are sent in monthly and reports to the probation officers are not games to be played or manipulated. The purpose of supervised release is for the United States government to monitor and assist defendants who are released from jail.

Mr. Spink had done a pretty good job of staying below the radar for several years, and it wasn't until the last few months, when Agent Robson got this case and heard from

Tennessee that he wasn't behaving well, that Mr. Spink was engaged in calling jails and talking to people who have been charged with crimes and people who have convictions, that there was really more scrutiny of Mr. Spink. His game playing in the monthly reports worked for a while, but it's pretty clear that he founded and ran a significant company, Baneki Privacy Computing, what it was engaged in, and that he had access to the checkbooks, he had access to money, he moved some out of the country, he paid his personal and animal bills with the checking account, and it's not fine to say it wasn't in his name. It was something that he was controlling. It's the spirit of probation and what he must do. It's also why his travel was inappropriate, his other conduct was inappropriate.

As to Count No. 1, which is his participation in the underlying crime in Whatcom County, the statute is fairly clear that -- which is in evidence, assisting or allowing people to engage or to provide the animals is criminal. That matter was referred to Whatcom County. Mr. Spink has been in jail since that time. It is completely appropriate to prove that the violation of probation, that he committed this crime or engaged in this crime in this fashion. This is done routinely in courts.

The people he was in contact with in Tennessee and elsewhere by phones and letters were people he knew to be

felons or engaged in criminal conduct. And sort of interestingly, if we were to believe what Mr. Spink said in that one tape, he also said the attorney general knew his case, it was such a big case. And really what Mr. Spink said in his phone calls maybe is not in touch with reality. The government believes all four allegations are proven, and we ask you to find he has violated those.

THE COURT: Thank you. All right, Counsel. As indicated, there are four alleged violations of the conditions of supervised release. No. 3 has been admitted, that is leaving the state without permission. We're only talking about 1, 2, and 4.

As indicated by all parties, the standard is by a preponderance of the evidence. There were basically three witnesses: Two witnesses that testified, the affidavit of Mr. Clarke, as well as the proffer that the court has had a chance to fully review.

Let me indicate, first off, that the court finds and accepts the testimony from all the witnesses, the probation officer, the Whatcom County detective, Detective Roff, and also from Mr. Clarke. There really is no testimony to the contrary. The only question is what to make of all the testimony that's been given to the court, both orally and in the exhibits that have been admitted for purposes of this particular hearing.

In terms of the truthful reports, the allegation is failing to submit truthful reports to the probation office since October of 2008, and there are several ways that that can actually be proven, and that was proven in this particular case. Mr. Spink was not truthful in those reports, and the court finds that the evidence is overwhelming that he, in fact, did not tell the truth; therefore, that has been proven.

Associating with people engaged in criminal activities or associating with persons convicted of a felony on or about October 4th, 2009, through December 5th, 2009, and on or about April 14th, 2010, in violation of standard condition No. 9.

He definitely was associated with Stephen Clarke. There's no argument about that. There was a conversation on the record, both were found on the premises when Mr. Clarke was engaged in criminal activity. Mr. Clarke was convicted in Whatcom County. There was no question he was involved in criminal activity. That in and of itself fulfills the elements of that particular violation, not to mention contact with Mr. Tait and contact with the other people that were in custody.

As to whether or not Mr. Aiken ever gave him permission, the court believes that the testimony of Probation Officer Robson probably takes care of that, and whenever probation

officers do that, they take great care to mark that in the file for anyone who picks that file up subsequently to understand that permission has been given. The court is satisfied that that violation has been, in fact, proven by the government as well.

That simply leaves Violation No. 1, committing the crime of animal cruelty in violation of current state law, and the prohibition for Mr. Spink was that he not commit any other crimes, federal, state, or local.

RCW 16.52.205 that's shown in Exhibit 19 makes it a felony. Animal cruelty in the first degree, when he or she knowingly permits any sexual conduct or sexual contact with an animal to be conducted on any premises under his or her charge or control, or knowingly engages in, organizes, promotes, conducts, advertises, aids, abets, participates in as an observer, or performs any service in furtherance of an act involving any sexual contact or sexual conduct with any animal for recreation or commercial purpose.

Yes, there is no evidence that Mr. Spink was holding the video camera, no evidence that he was the one that was actively photographing this, but all the other evidence, and the bulk of the evidence clearly shows that his actions fall within the statute itself.

Mr. Phillips argues that it's not properly before the court. He's not been tried in state court. That happens all

```
the time. And whether or not state charges will follow is
 1
 2
    not for this court to be concerned with at this point in
 3
    time.
        Mr. Phillips' argument is that the statute may very well
 4
    be unconstitutional. Again, at this point in time, the
 5
    statute is -- has not been ruled unconstitutional by any
 6
    state court, and the court is satisfied that Mr. Spink is
 7
    also in violation as charged in Alleged Violation No. 1.
 8
        All right. Madame Clerk, do we have a disposition date?
 9
             THE CLERK: Friday, July 16th, at 1:30 p.m.
10
             THE COURT:
                         Will that work for the government, that
11
    day, July 16th?
12
             MS. ROE:
                       Yes.
13
                         Mr. Phillips?
             THE COURT:
14
             MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, yes, Your Honor.
15
             THE COURT: All right. We still have Mr. Clarke's
16
    matter to deal with. If there's nothing further, we'll be at
17
    recess on this matter. Thank you.
18
19
                      (THE PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED.)
20
21
23
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATE

I, Nancy L. Bauer, CCR, RPR, Court Reporter for the United States District Court in the Western District of Washington at Seattle, do hereby certify that I was present in court during the foregoing matter and reported said proceedings stenographically.

I further certify that thereafter, I have caused said stenographic notes to be transcribed under my direction and that the foregoing pages are a true and accurate transcription to the best of my ability.

Dated this 7th day of September 2010.

/S/ Nancy L. Bauer

Nancy L. Bauer, CCR, RPR Official Court Reporter